## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order the defendant and, if appropriate, the other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal to pay the costs of the proceedings, including those incurred in the proceedings before the Board of Appeal.

### Pleas in law

- infringement of the first sentence of Article 75 of Regulation No 207/2009;
- infringement of Article 42(2) and (3), in conjunction with Article 15, of Regulation No 207/2009.

# Action brought on 21 November 2016 — Kiosked Oy v EUIPO — VRT, NV van Publiek Recht (k) (Case T-824/16)

(2017/C 022/69)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

### **Parties**

Applicant: Kiosked Oy Ab (Espoo, Finland) (represented by: L. Laaksonen, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: VRT, NV van Publiek Recht (Brussels, Belgium)

### Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: International registration designating the European Union in respect of the figurative mark in black and white containing the word element 'K' — International registration designating the European Union No 1 112 969

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 19 September 2016 in Case R 279/2016-4

### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO') of 21 September 2016, in case No. R0279/2016-4 to accept the opposition by VRT, NV van publiek recht and reject the registration of International registration designating European No W01112969 K (fig.) (hereinafter the 'K LOGO') for the following services in classes 35 'Advertising, business management, business administration, office functions' and 42 'Design and development of computer software' and allows the K LOGO to proceed for registration for the above said services;
- order the Opponent to bear all the Appellant's costs of the opposition proceedings, including the costs of legal representation, in accordance with the cost specification to be submitted by the Appellant within the deadline referred to in Article 85 of EUTMR, and should such specification fail to be submitted, in accordance with the relevant legislation.

### Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

# Action brought on 24 November 2016 — Republic of Cyprus v EUIPO — POCF (Pallas Halloumi) (Case T-825/16)

(2017/C 022/70)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Republic of Cyprus (represented by: S. Malynicz, QC and V. Marsland, Solicitor)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Pancyprian Organisation of Cattle Farmers (POCF) (Latsia, Cyprus)

### Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: EU figurative mark containing the word elements 'Pallas Halloumi' — Application for registration No 11 180 536

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 22 September 2016 in Case R 2065/2014-4

# Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and the other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal to pay the costs.

### Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

# Action brought on 28 November 2016 — Casasnovas Bernad v Commission

(Case T-826/16) (2017/C 022/71)

Language of the case: French

### **Parties**

Applicant: Luis Javier Casasnovas Bernad (Saint-Dominique, Dominican Republic) (represented by: S. Orlandi and T. Martin, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission