2. Orders Ms Jaana Pohjanmäki to bear her own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the Council of the European Union in the appeal.

(1) OJ C 337, 12.10.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 15 September 2016 — Trinity Haircare v EUIPO — Advance Magazine Publishers (VOGUE)

(Case T-453/15) (1)

(EU trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — EU figurative trade mark VOGUE — Absolute ground for refusal — No descriptive character — Distinctiveness — Article 52(1)(a), read in conjunction with Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Bad faith — Article 52(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009)

(2016/C 392/50)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Trinity Haircare AG (Herisau, Switzerland) (represented by: J. Kroher and K. Bach, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Lewis and J. Crespo Carrillo, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervening before the General Court: Advance Magazine Publishers, Inc. (New York, New York, United States) (represented by: D. Ivison, Barrister)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 27 May 2015 (Case R 2426/2014-4) relating to invalidity proceedings between Advance Magazine Publishers and Trinity Haircare.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Trinity Haircare AG to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) and by Advance Magazine Publishers, Inc.
- (1) OJ C 320, 28.9.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 14 September 2016 — Lotte v EUIPO — Kuchenmeister (KOALA LAND)

(Case T-479/15) (1)

(European Union trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU word mark KOALA LAND — Prior national word mark KOALA — Rejection in part of the application for registration — Likelihood of confusion — Genuine use — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Article 42 (2) and (3) of Regulation No 207/2009)

(2016/C 392/51)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Lotte Co. Ltd (Tokyo, Japan) (represented by: M. Knitter and S. Schicker, lawyers)