

Intervener in support of the applicant: Republic of Lithuania (represented by: D. Kriaučiūnas and V. Čepaitė, acting as Agents)

Re:

In Case T-353/14, application based on Article 263 TFEU and asking for annulment of the notice of open competition EPSO/AD/276/14, to constitute a reserve list for administrators (OJ 2014 C 74 A, p. 4), and, in Case T-17/15, application based on Article 263 TFEU and asking for annulment of the notice of open competition EPSO/AD/294/14 to constitute a reserve list of administrators in the field of data protection for the European Data Protection Supervisor (OJ 2014 C 391 A, p. 1.)

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1) Joins Cases T-353/14 and T-17/15 for the purposes of the judgment;
- 2) Annuls the notice of open competition EPSO/AD/276/14, to constitute a reserve list for administrators and the notice of open competition EPSO/AD/294/14 to constitute a reserve list of administrators in the field of data protection for the European Data Protection Supervisor;
- 3) Orders the European Commission to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the Italian Republic;
- 4) Orders the Republic of Lithuania to bear its own costs relating to its intervention in Case T-17/15.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 212, 7.7.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 15 September 2016 — TAO-AFI and SFIE-PE v Parliament and Council

(Case T-456/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Remuneration and pensions of officials and other servants of the European Union — Annual adjustment — Regulation (EU) No 422/2014 and Regulation (EU) No 423/2014 — Irregularities during the procedure for adopting the measures — Failure to consult trade unions)

(2016/C 392/32)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Association des fonctionnaires indépendants pour la défense de la fonction publique européenne (TAO-AFI) (Brussels, Belgium) and Syndicat des fonctionnaires internationaux et européens — Section du Parlement européen (SFIE-PE) (Brussels) (represented by: M. Casado García-Hirschfeld and J. Vanden Eynde, lawyers)

Defendants: European Parliament (represented by: A. Troupiotis and E. Taneva, acting as Agents) and Council of the European Union (represented by: M. Bauer and E. Rebasti, acting as Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendants: European Commission (represented by: initially J. Currall and G. Gattinara, then G. Gattinara and F. Simonetti, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU and asking for annulment of Regulations (EU) Nos 422/2014 and 423/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 adjusting with effect from 1 July 2011 and from 1 July 2012 the remuneration and pensions of officials and other servants of the European Union and the correction coefficients applied thereto (OJ 2014 L 129, respectively, p. 5 and p. 12)

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1) Dismisses the action;

- 2) *Orders Association des fonctionnaires indépendants pour la défense de la fonction publique européenne (TAO-AFI) and Syndicat des fonctionnaires internationaux et européens — Section du Parlement européen (SFIE-PE) to pay the costs;*
- 3) *Orders the European Commission to bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 261, 11.8.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 15 September 2016 — Crosfield Italia v ECHA

(Case T-587/14) ⁽¹⁾

(REACH — Fee for registration of a substance — Reduction granted to micro-, small-and medium-sized enterprises — Error in declaration relating to the size of the enterprise — Recommendation 2003/361/EC — Decision imposing an administrative charge — Obligation to state reasons)

(2016/C 392/33)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Crosfield Italia Srl (Verona, Italy) (represented by: M. Baldassarri, lawyer)

Defendant: European Chemicals Agency (represented initially by M. Heikkilä, E. Bigi, J.-P. Trnka and E. Maurage, and subsequently by M. Heikkilä, J.-P. Trnka and E. Maurage, Agents, and by C. Garcia Molyneux, lawyer)

Re:

Application, first, under Article 263 TFEU, for annulment of Decision SME(2013) 4672 of the ECHA of 28 May 2014, which states that the applicant does not fulfil the conditions to receive a reduction of the fee for small enterprises and imposing an administrative charge on it and, second, under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of the invoices issued by the ECHA following adoption of Decision SME(2013) 4672.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Annuls Decision SME(2013) 4672 of the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) of 28 May 2014;*
2. *Orders each party to bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 361, 13.10.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 9 September 2016 — Tri-Ocean Trading v Council

(Case T-709/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures taken against Syria — Freezing of funds — Error of assessment)

(2016/C 392/34)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Tri-Ocean Trading (George Town, Cayman Islands) (represented by: B. Kennelly, Barrister, P. Saini QC, and N. Sheikh, Solicitor)