

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 18 July 2016 — Dietrich v Parliament**(Case F-143/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Civil service — Contract staff — Early termination of the contract — Expiry date of the notice period — Suspension of the notice period — New expiry date of the notice period — Act not having an adverse effect — Complaint lodged out of time — Plea of inadmissibility — Manifest inadmissibility — Article 83 of the Rules of Procedure)*

(2016/C 364/74)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Constant Dietrich (Pfulgiesheim, France) (represented by: A. Fombaron, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Parliament (represented by: L. Deneys and E. Taneva, Agents)

**Subject-matter of the case**

Action for annulment of the decision rejecting the applicant's complaint seeking annulment of the decision terminating early his employment at the European Parliament.

**Operative part of the order**

- 1) *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
- 2) *Constant Dietrich shall bear his own costs and shall pay the costs incurred by the European Parliament.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 68, 22.2.2016, p. 45.

---

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Third Chamber) of 21 July 2016 — Stanley v Commission**(Case F-5/16) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Civil service — Member of the contract staff — Request under Article 90(1) of the Staff Regulations — Request for reclassification of a contract — Reasonable period — None — Manifest inadmissibility)*

(2016/C 364/75)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* John Stanley (Apia, Samoa) (represented by: O. Mader, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: G. Berscheid and C. Berardis-Kayser, acting as Agents, and by B. Wägenbaur, lawyer)

**Re:**

Annulment of the Commission's decision not to reclassify the applicant's contract as a temporary staff contract or, in the alternative, compensation for the material damage alleged to have been suffered.