

Judgment of the General Court of 1 June 2016 — Mega Brands v EUIPO — Diset (MAGNEXT)(Case T-292/12 RENV) ⁽¹⁾**(European Union trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for European Union word mark MAGNEXT — Earlier national word mark MAGNET 4 — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Similarity of the signs — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)**

(2016/C 251/21)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Mega Brands International, Luxembourg, Zweigniederlassung Zug (Zug, Switzerland) (represented by: A. Nordemann and M. Maier, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) (represented initially by V. Melgar and subsequently by H. O'Neill, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: Diset, SA (Barcelona, Spain)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 24 April 2012 (Case R 1722/2011-4), relating to opposition proceedings between Diset and Mega Brands International, Luxembourg, Zweigniederlassung Zug.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 24 April 2012 (Case R 1722/2011-4);
2. Orders EUIPO to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by Mega Brands International, Luxembourg, Zweigniederlassung Zug.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 273, 8.9.2012.

Judgment of the General Court of 2 June 2016 — Bank Mellat v Council(Case T-160/13) ⁽¹⁾**(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures against Iran — Restrictions on transfers of funds involving Iranian financial institutions — Jurisdiction of the General Court — Action for annulment — Regulatory act not entailing implementing measures — Whether directly concerned — Interest in bringing proceedings — Admissibility — Proportionality — Obligation to state reasons — Legal safeguards as referred to in Article 215(3) TFEU — Legal certainty — Non-arbitrariness — Breach of fundamental rights)**

(2016/C 251/22)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Bank Mellat (Tehran, Iran) (represented initially by S. Zaiwalla, P. Reddy, F. Zaiwalla, Z. Burbeza, A. Meskarian, Solicitors, D. Wyatt QC, R. Blakeley and G. Beck, Barristers, and subsequently by S. Zaiwalla, P. Reddy, Z. Burbeza, A. Meskarian, D. Wyatt QC, R. Blakeley and G. Beck)