

**Re:**

Application firstly for annulment, under Article 263 TFEU and Article 275 TFEU, of Council Decision 2013/661/CFSP of 15 November 2013 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2013 L 306, p. 18) and of Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1154/2013 of 15 November 2013 implementing Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2013 L 306, p. 3), in so far as they concern the applicant, and secondly for a declaration, under Article 277 TFEU, of the inapplicability as regards the applicant of Article 20(1)(c) of Council Decision 2010/413/CFSP of 26 July 2010 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Common Position 2007/140/CFSP (OJ 2010 L 195, p. 39) as amended by Article 1(7) of Council Decision 2012/35/CFSP of 23 January 2012 (OJ 2012 L 19, p. 22) and of Article 23(2)(d) and Article 46(2) of Council Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 of 23 March 2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 (OJ 2012 L 88, p. 1).

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Iran Insurance Company to pay the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 78, 15.3.2014.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 3 May 2016 — Post Bank Iran v Council**

(Case T-68/14) <sup>(1)</sup>

***(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures adopted against Iran with the aim of preventing nuclear proliferation — Freezing of funds — Plea of illegality — Article 46(2) of Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 — Article 215 TFEU — Article 20(1)(c) of Decision 2010/413/CFSP, as amended by Article 1(7) of Decision 2012/35/CFSP — Article 23(2)(d) of Regulation No 267/2012 — Fundamental rights — Articles 2 TEU, 21 TEU and 23 TEU — Articles 17 and 52 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights — Error of assessment — Equal treatment — Non-discrimination — Principle of sound administration — Obligation to state reasons — Misuse of powers — Legitimate expectations — Proportionality)***

(2016/C 222/15)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Post Bank Iran (Tehran, Iran) (represented by: D. Luff, avocat)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: I. Rodios and M. Bishop, acting as Agents)

*Intervener in support of the defendant:* European Commission (represented by: F. Castillo de la Torre and D. Gauci, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Application firstly for annulment, under Article 263 TFEU and Article 275 TFEU of Council Decision 2013/661/CFSP of 15 November 2013 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2013 L 306, p. 18) and of Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1154/2013 of 15 November 2013 implementing Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2013 L 306, p. 3), in so far as they concern the applicant, and secondly for a declaration, under Article 277 TFEU, of the inapplicability as regards the applicant of Article 20(1)(c) of Decision 2010/413, concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Common Position 2007/140/CFSP (OJ 2010 L 195, p. 39) as amended by Article 1(7) of Council Decision 2012/35/CFSP of 23 January 2012 (OJ 2012 L 19, p. 22) and of Article 23(2)(d) and Article 46(2) of Council Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 of 23 March 2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 (OJ 2012 L 88, p. 1)

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Post Bank Iran to pay the costs.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 129, 28.4.2014.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 4 May 2016 — Andres and Others v ECB**

(Case T-129/14 P) (<sup>1</sup>)

**(Appeal — Civil service — ECB staff — Pensions — Reform of the pension insurance scheme — Freezing of the pension plan — Conditions of employment of ECB staff — Right of consultation — Difference in nature between a contractual employment relationship and an employment relationship covered by the Staff Regulations — Distortion — Error of law)**

(2016/C 222/16)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

**Appellants:** Carlos Andres (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) and the other 150 appellants whose names are listed in the annex to the judgment (represented by: L. Levi, lawyer)

**Other party to the proceedings:** European Central Bank (ECB) (represented initially by B. Ehlers and M. López Torres, and subsequently by B. Ehlers and F. Malfrère, acting as Agents, and by B. Wägenbaur, lawyer)

**Re:**

Appeal brought against the judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal of the European Union (Second Chamber) of 11 December 2013 in *Andres and Others v ECB* (F-15/10, EU:F:2013:194), seeking to have that judgment set aside.

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the appeal;
2. Orders Mr Carlos Andres and the other appellants whose names are listed in the annex to pay the costs.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 159, 26.5.2014.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 10 May 2016 — August Storck v EUIPO (Representation of a white and blue square-shaped packaging)**

(Case T-806/14) (<sup>1</sup>)

**(EU trade mark — International registration designating the European Union — Figurative mark representing white and blue square-shaped packaging — Absolute ground for refusal — No distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)**

(2016/C 222/17)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

**Applicant:** August Storck KG (Berlin, Germany) (represented by: P. Goldenbaum, I. Rohr, T. Melchert and A.-C. Richter, lawyers)