Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the decision not to include the applicant on the list of officials promoted in the 2015 promotion exercise.

Form of order sought

- Annul the defendant's decision of 24/07/2015 not to promote the applicant to the next grade (AST 8) in the defendant's 2015 promotion procedure by not including the name of the applicant on the list of officials promoted in the 2015 promotion exercise issued 24/07/2015;
- Order the defendant to pay the procedural costs.

Action brought on 23 March 2016 — ZZ v Commission

(Case F-17/16)

(2016/C 191/77)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: ZZ (represented by: H. Tettenborn, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Action for annulment of the decision of the European Personnel Selection Office (EPSO) not to have regard to the late request for review of the decision not to admit the applicant to the next stage of open competition EPSO/AST-SC/03/15—3 and for annulment of the implied decision not to grant that request for review.

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the European Personnel Selection Office (EPSO) of 17 August 2015, received by the applicant by e-mail, not to have regard to the applicant's request of 13 August 2015 for review of the decision not to admit the applicant to the next stage of open competition EPSO/AST-SC/03/15 3 following the decision of the selection board in that competition;
- annul the implied decision of the selection board in open competition EPSO/AST-SC/03/15 3 not to grant the applicant's request of 13 August 2015 for review of the decision of the selection board not to admit the applicant to the next stage of that competition;
- order the Commission to pay the entire costs.

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal of 18 April 2016 — Hill and Others v Commission

(Case F-29/12) (1)

(2016/C 191/78)

Language of the case: French

The President of the First Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 133, 5/5/2012, p. 31.