# Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 3 December 2014 (Case R 2563/2013-5), concerning an application for registration of a sign consisting of two parallel stripes on pants as a Community trade mark.

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Shoe Branding Europe BVBA to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 107, 30.3.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 16 December 2015 — Rotkäppchen-Mumm Sektkellereien v OHIM — Ruiz Moncayo (RED RIDING HOOD)

(Case T-128/15) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community word mark RED RIDING HOOD — Earlier national and international word marks ROTKÄPPCHEN — Relative ground for refusal — No likelihood of confusion — No similarity between the signs — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2016/C 048/57)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Rotkäppchen-Mumm Sektkellereien GmbH (Freyburg, Germany) (represented by: W. Berlit, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: J. Lewis and A. Folliard-Monguiral, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervening before the General Court: Alberto Ruiz Moncayo (Entrena, Spain) (represented by E. Valentín Prades, lawyer)

# Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 28 January 2015 (Case R 1012/2014-4) relating to opposition proceedings between Rotkäppchen-Mumm Sektkellereien GmbH and Alberto Ruiz Moncayo.

## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;

2. Orders Rotkäppchen-Mumm Sektkellereien GmbH to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 171, 26.5.2015.

# Order of the President of the General Court of 7 December 2015 — POA v Commission

(Case T-584/15 R)

(Application for interim measures — Publication of an application for registration of a protected designation of origin — 'Halloumi' or 'Hellim' — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — No urgency)

(2016/C 048/58)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Pagkiprios organismos ageladotrofon Dimosia Ltd (POA) (Latsia, Cyprus) (represented by: N. Korogiannakis, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Lewis and J. Guillem Carrau, acting as Agents)

#### Re:

Application for suspension of operation of the measure adopted by the Commission dated 28 July 2015 entitled 'Publication of an application pursuant to Article 50(2)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs' (OJ 2015 C 246, p. 9).

# Operative part of the order

- 1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
- 2. The costs are reserved.

Order of the President of the General Court of 4 December 2015 — E-Control v ACER

(Case T-671/15 R)

(Application for interim measures — Opinion on the compliance of national regulatory authorities' decisions approving the methods of cross-border electricity transmission capacity — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — Infringement of the procedural requirements — Inadmissibility)

(2016/C 048/59)

Language of the case: English

### **Parties**

Applicant: Energie-Control Austria für die Regulierung der Elektrizitäts- und Erdgaswirtschaft (E-Control) (Vienna, Austria) (represented by: F. Schuhmacher, lawyer)

Defendant: Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER)