

3. Third plea in law: infringement of the obligation to state reasons in Article 41 of the Charter
4. Fourth plea in law: limitation of the scope of fundamental rights by failing to act, an unlawful interpretation of the law, under Articles 52 and 54 of the Charter

---

**Action brought on 23 October 2015 — Wirtschaftsvereinigung Stahl and Others v Commission**

**(Case T-605/15)**

(2016/C 027/80)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Applicants:* Wirtschaftsvereinigung Stahl (Düsseldorf, Germany), Benteler Steel/Tube GmbH (Paderborn, Germany), BGH Edelstahl Freital GmbH (Freital, Germany), BGH Edelstahl Lippendorf GmbH (Lippendorf, Germany), BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH (Siegen, Germany), Buderus Edelstahl GmbH (Wetzlar, Germany), ESF Elbe-Stahlwerke Feralpi GmbH (Riesa, Germany), Friedr. Lohmann GmbH Werk für Spezial- & Edelstähle (Witten, Germany), Outokumpu Nirosta GmbH (Krefeld, Germany), Rogesa Roheisengesellschaft Saar mbH (Dillingen, Germany), Zentralkokerei Saar GmbH (Dillingen), Drahtwerk St. Ingbert GmbH (Sankt Ingbert, Germany), Ilseburger Grobblech GmbH (Ilseburg, Germany), ThyssenKrupp Electrical Steel GmbH (Gelsenkirchen, Germany), ThyssenKrupp Federn und Stabilisatoren GmbH (Hagen, Germany), ThyssenKrupp Gerlach GmbH (Homburg, Germany), ThyssenKrupp Rasselstein GmbH (Andernach, Germany) und Emscher Aufbereitung GmbH (Mühlheim an der Ruhr, Germany) (represented by: H. Janssen, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission

**Form of order sought**

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order the defendant to pay the costs of the proceedings.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

By the present action, the applicants seek the annulment of Commission Decision (EU) 2015/1585 of 25 November 2014 (notified under document C(2014) 8786) on the aid scheme SA.33995 (2013/C) (ex 2013/NN) implemented by Germany for the support of renewable electricity and of energy-intensive users. <sup>(1)</sup>

In support of the action, the applicants rely on four pleas in law which are, in essence, identical or similar to the pleas relied on in Case T-319/15 *Deutsche Edelstahlwerke v Commission*. <sup>(2)</sup>

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ 2015 L 250, p. 122.

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ 2015 C 302, p. 60.

---

**Action brought on 29 October 2015 — Repsol v OHIM — Basic (BASIC)**

**(Case T-609/15)**

(2016/C 027/81)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Repsol, SA (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: J. Devaureix, lawyer)