## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order OHIM to pay the costs.

#### Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

# Action brought on 9 November 2015 — Deere v OHIM (EXHAUST-GARD)

(Case T-622/15)

(2016/C 007/44)

Language of the case: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Deere & Company (Wilmington, United States of America) (represented by: N. Weber and T. Heitmann, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

### Details of the proceedings before OHIM

Trade mark at issue: Community word mark 'EXHAUST-GARD' — Application for registration No 11 747 409

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 8 September 2015 in Case R 196/2014-4

### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order OHIM to pay the costs.

### Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 75of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 11 November 2015 — Frame v OHIM — Bianca-Moden (BiancalunA)

(Case T-628/15)

(2016/C 007/45)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Frame Srl (San Giuseppe Vesuviano, Italy) (represented by: M. Borghese, R. Giordano, E. Montelione, lawyers)