

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Rakhat AO (Almaty, Kazakhstan)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 28 November 2012 (Case R 893/2012-2) concerning opposition proceedings between Rot Front OAO and Rakhat AO.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 28 November 2012 (Case R 893/2012-2);
2. Orders OHIM to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by Rot Front OAO.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 123, 27.4.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 29 October 2015 — Lithuania v Commission

(Case T-110/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Community assistance programme for pre-accession measures for agriculture and rural development in the applicant countries of central and eastern Europe (SAPARD) — Financing by the European Union of certain expenditure incurred by Lithuania — Commission decision requiring Lithuania to reimburse part of the amount paid — Article 9(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1268/1999 — Reference to the principles established by Regulation (EC) No 1258/1999 — Scope of the multiannual financing agreement concerning the SAPARD programme — Sincere cooperation)

(2015/C 429/21)

Language of the case: Lithuanian

Parties

Applicant: Republic of Lithuania (represented by: D. Kriauciūnas, R. Krasuckaitė, D. Skara and V. Čepaitė, acting as Agents)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: F. Erlbacher, A. Steiblytė and G. von Rintelen, acting as Agents)

Re:

Principally, application for annulment of Decision No FK/fa/D(2012) 1707818 of the Commission of 10 December 2012 in so far as the debit note No 3241213460 attached to it relates to projects whose completion has been assigned to undertakings which have been placed in receivership and to Project P27010010.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1) *Dismisses the action;*
- 2) *Orders the Republic of Lithuania to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 129, 4.5.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 29 October 2015 — Direct Way and Directway Worldwide v Parliament

(Case T-126/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Public service contracts — Tendering procedure — Transport for Members of the European Parliament — Decision to declare unsuccessful and to close the tendering procedure and to initiate a negotiated procedure — Award of contract to another tenderer — Equal treatment — Substantial change to the original conditions of the contract)

(2015/C 429/22)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Direct Way (Machelen, Belgium) and Directway Worldwide (Machelen) (represented by: E. van Nuffel d'Heynsbroeck)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: L. Darie and P. Biström, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application to annul three European Parliament decisions relating to awarding the contract for transport services for Members of the Parliament in Brussels.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1) *Dismisses the action;*
- 2) *Orders Direct Way and Directway Worldwide to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 147, 25.5.2013.