## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Hewlett Packard Development Company LP to pay the costs.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 292, 1.9.2014.

## Judgment of the General Court of 22 October 2015 — Hewlett Packard Development Company v OHIM (ELITEDISPLAY)

(Case T-563/14) (<sup>1</sup>)

(Community trade mark — Application for Community word mark ELITEDISPLAY — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2015/C 406/31)

Language of the case: English

## Parties

Applicant: Hewlett Packard Development Company LP (Houston, Texas, United States) (represented by: T. Raab and H. Lauf, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: Ó. Mondéjar Ortuño, acting as Agent)

## Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 19 May 2014 (Case R 1539/2013-2), concerning an application for registration of the word sign ELITEDISPLAY as a Community trade mark.

#### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;

2. Orders Hewlett Packard Development Company LP to pay the costs.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 351, 5.10.2014.

# Action brought on 13 May 2015 — Vince v OHIM (ELECTRIC HIGHWAY) (Case T-315/15)

## (2015/C 406/32)

#### Language of the case: English

#### Parties

Applicant: Dale Vince (Gloucestershire, United Kingdom) (represented by: B. Longstaff, barrister)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)