

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: V. Melgar and Ó. Mondéjar Ortuño, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Domingo Gutiérrez Ariza (Málaga, Spain)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 7 October 2013 (Case R 347/2013-4) relating to opposition proceedings between Domingo Gutiérrez Ariza and Petco Animal Supplies Stores, Inc.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Petco Animal Supplies Stores, Inc. to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 112, 14.4.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 22 October 2015 — Hewlett Packard Development Company v OHIM (ELITEPAD)

(Case T-470/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Application for Community word mark ELITEPAD — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2015/C 406/30)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Hewlett Packard Development Company LP (Houston, Texas, United States) (represented by: T. Raab and H. Lauf, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: Ó. Mondéjar Ortuño, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 27 March 2014 (Case R 884/2013-2), concerning an application for registration of the word sign ELITEPAD as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Hewlett Packard Development Company LP to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 292, 1.9.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 22 October 2015 — Hewlett Packard Development Company v OHIM (ELITEDISPLAY)

(Case T-563/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Application for Community word mark ELITEDISPLAY — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2015/C 406/31)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Hewlett Packard Development Company LP (Houston, Texas, United States) (represented by: T. Raab and H. Lauf, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: Ó. Mondéjar Ortuño, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 19 May 2014 (Case R 1539/2013-2), concerning an application for registration of the word sign ELITEDISPLAY as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Hewlett Packard Development Company LP to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 351, 5.10.2014.

Action brought on 13 May 2015 — Vince v OHIM (ELECTRIC HIGHWAY)

(Case T-315/15)

(2015/C 406/32)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Dale Vince (Gloucestershire, United Kingdom) (represented by: B. Longstaff, barrister)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)