#### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 18 December 2013 (Case R 1086/2012-4);
- 2. Orders OHIM to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by Tilda Riceland Private Ltd.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 135, 5.5.2014.

# Judgment of the General Court of 23 September 2015 — Cristiano di Thiene v OHIM — Nautica Apparel (AERONAUTICA)

(Case T-193/14)  $(^{1})$ 

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community word mark AERONAUTICA — Earlier Community word marks NAUTICA and NAUTICA BLUE — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2015/C 389/47)

Language of the case: English

#### Parties

Applicant: Cristiano di Thiene SpA (Thiene, Italy) (represented by: F. Fischetti and F. Celluprica, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: P. Bullock and N. Bambara, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: Nautica Apparel, Inc. (New York, New York, United States) (represented by: C. Hawkes, Solicitor, and B. Brandreth, Barrister)

### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 10 January 2014 (Case R 96/2013-4) concerning opposition proceedings between Nautica Apparel, Inc. and Cristiano di Thiene SpA.

## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Cristiano di Thiene SpA to pay the costs.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) OJ C 151, 19.5.2014.