Judgment of the General Court of 25 September 2015 — Copernicus-Trademarks v OHIM (BLUECO)

(Case T-684/13) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community word mark BLUECO — Prior Community word mark BLUECAR — Relative ground for refusal — Distinctive character of the earlier mark — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Application for alteration made by the intervener — Article 65(4) of Regulation No 207/2009)

(2015/C 389/44)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Copernicus-Trademarks Ltd (Borehamwood, United Kingdom) (represented by: L. Pechan and S. Körber, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Schifko, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervening before the General Court: Bolloré SA (Érgue-Gaberic, France) (represented initially by: B. Fontaine, and subsequently by: O. Legrand, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 8 October 2013 (Case R 2029/2012-1) concerning opposition proceedings between Bolloré SA and Copernicus-Trademarks Ltd.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Rejects the application for alteration made by Bolloré SA;
- 3. Orders Copernicus-Trademarks Ltd to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 52, 22.2.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 30 September 2015 — GAT Microencapsulation v OHIM — BASF (KARIS)

(Case T-720/13) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community word mark KARIS — Earlier Community marks and earlier international word mark CARYX — Earlier national marks and earlier Benelux word mark AKRIS — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2015/C 389/45)

Language of the case: English

Parties