- 2. Annuls, in Case T-86/14, Article 4(1) of Commission Regulation (EU) No 93/2013 of 1 February 2013 laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 2494/95 concerning harmonised indices of consumer prices, as regards establishing owner-occupied housing price indices;
- 3. Orders the European Commission to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the Kingdom of the Netherlands.
- (1) OJ C 189, 29.6.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 25 September 2015 — VECCO and Others v Commission (Case T-360/13) (1)

(REACH — Inclusion of chromium trioxide in the list of substances subject to authorisation — Uses or categories of uses exempted from the authorisation requirement — Concept of 'existing specific Community legislation imposing minimum requirements relating to the protection of human health or the environment for the use of the substance' — Manifest error of assessment — Proportionality — Rights of the defence — Principle of sound administration)

(2015/C 389/33)

Language of the case: English

## **Parties**

Applicants: Verein zur Wahrung von Einsatz und Nutzung von Chromtrioxid und anderen Chrom-VI-verbindungen in der Oberflächentechnik eV (VECCO) (Memmingen, Germany) and the other 185 applicants whose names are listed in Annex I to the judgment (represented by: C. Mereu, K. Van Maldegem, lawyers, and J. Beck, Solicitor)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: K. Talabér-Ritz and J. Tomkin, acting as Agents)

Interveners in support of the applicants: Assogalvanica (Padua, Italy) and the 31 other interveners whose names are listed in Annex II to the judgment (represented by: C. Mereu, K. Van Maldegem and J. Beck)

Intervener in support of the defendant: European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (represented by: W. Broere, M. Heikkilä and T. Zbihlej, acting as Agents)

## Re:

Application for partial annulment of Commission Regulation (EU) No 348/2013 of 17 April 2013 amending Annex XIV to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) (OJ 2013 L 108, p. 1).

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Verein zur Wahrung von Einsatz und Nutzung von Chromtrioxid und anderen Chrom-VI-verbindungen in der Oberflächentechnik eV (VECCO) and the applicants whose names are listed in Annex I to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission;
- 3. Orders Assogalvanica and the other interveners whose names are listed in Annex II to bear their own costs;
- 4. Orders the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) to bear its own costs.
- (1) OJ C 260, 7.9.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 30 September 2015 — Mocek, Wenta KAJMAN Firma Handlowo-Usługowo-Produkcyjna v OHIM — Lacoste (KAJMAN)

(Case T-364/13) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community figurative mark KAJMAN — Earlier Community figurative mark representing a crocodile — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Applications for annulment and alteration brought by the intervener — Article 134(3) of the Rules of Procedure of 2 May 1991)

(2015/C 389/34)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Eugenia Mocek and Jadwiga Wenta KAJMAN Firma Handlowo-Usługowo-Produkcyjna (Chojnice, Poland) (represented by: K. Grala and B. Szczepaniak, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented initially by P. Geroulakos, and subsequently by D. Gája, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: Lacoste SA (Paris, France) (represented by: P. Gaultier, lawyer)

## Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 10 May 2013 (Case R 2466/2010-4), relating to opposition proceedings between Lacoste SA and Eugenia Mocek, Jadwiga Wenta KAJMAN Firma Handlowo-Usługowo-Produkcyjna.