#### Details of the proceedings before OHIM

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: Community figurative mark containing the word element 'Bimbo' — Application for registration No 10 028 405

Procedure before OHIM: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 7 July 2015 in Joined Cases R 2512/2013-4 and R 2549/2013-4

### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- modify the contested decision in accordance with Article 65.3 CTMR, rejecting the CTM Application n<sup>o</sup> 10 028 405 in class 28;
- subsidiarity and only in the case the above claim would be rejected, annul the contested decision
- order the defendants to pay the costs, as stated in article 134 of the Rules of Procedure of the General Court (former 87.2).

### Pleas in law

- Infringement of Rule 19(1) (2) (3) and Rule 20(1) of the Regulation No 2868/95;
- Infringement of Article 64(1) of the Regulation No 207/2009 and related case-law;
- Infringement of Article 43(2) and (3) of the Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of the Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 8(5) of the Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 11 September 2015 — Korea National Insurance Corporation Zweigniederlassung Deutschland a.o. v Council and Commission

(Case T-533/15)

(2015/C 381/60)

Language of the case: English

## Parties

Applicants: Korea National Insurance Corporation Zweigniederlassung Deutschland (Hamburg, Germany), Kim Il Su (Pyongyang, République de Corée), Kang Song Sam (Hamburg), Choe Chun Sik (Pyongyang), Sin Kyu Nam (Pyongyang), Pak Chun San (Pyongyang), So Tong Myong (Pyongyang) (represented by: M. Lester and S. Midwinter, Barristers, T. Brentnall and A. Stevenson, Solicitors)

Defendants: European Commission and Council of the European Union

EN

#### Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- annul the Council Decision (CFSP) 2015/1066 of 2 July 2015 amending Decision 2013/183/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (OJ L 174, p. 25) and Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1062 of 2 July 2015 amending Council Regulation (EC) No 329/2007 concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (OJ L 174, p. 16) in so far as those measures purport to include them in Annex V;
- order the defendants to pay the applicants' costs.

#### Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on three pleas in law.

- 1. First plea in law, alleging that the defendants have failed to give adequate or sufficient reasons for including the applicants.
- 2. Second plea in law, alleging that the defendants have manifestly erred in considering that any of the criteria for listing in the contested measures were fulfilled in the applicants' case, there is no factual basis for their inclusion.
- 3. Third plea in law, alleging that the defendants have breached data protection principles.
- 4. Fourth plea in law, alleging that the defendants have infringed, without justification or proportion, the applicants' fundamental rights, including their right to protection of its property, business and reputation.

# Action brought on 17 September 2015 — LLR-G5 v OHIM — Glycan Finance (SILICIUM ORGANIQUE G5 LLR-G5)

(Case T-539/15)

(2015/C 381/61)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

## Parties

Applicant: LLR-G5 Ltd (Castlebar, Ireland) (represented by: A. von Mühlendahl and H. Hartwig, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Glycan Finance Corp. Ltd (Sheffield, United Kingdom)

## Details of the proceedings before OHIM

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: Community figurative mark in colour containing the word elements 'SILICIUM ORGANIQUE G5 LLR-G5' — Community trade mark application No 10 424 703

Procedure before OHIM: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 11 June 2015 in Case R 291/2014-1