

**Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 1 October 2015 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale di Firenze — Italy) — Criminal proceedings against Skerdjan Celaj**

(Case C-290/14) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Area of freedom, security and justice — Directive 2008/115/EC — Return of illegally staying third-country nationals — Return decision accompanied by an entry ban of three years' duration — Breach of an entry ban — Third-country national previously removed — Sentence of imprisonment in case of new unlawful entry into the national territory — Compatibility)*

(2015/C 381/08)

Language of the case: Italian

**Referring court**

Tribunale di Firenze

**Party in the main proceedings**

Skerdjan Celaj

**Operative part of the judgment**

Directive 2008/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on common standards and procedures in Member States for returning illegally staying third-country nationals must be interpreted as not, in principle, precluding legislation of a Member State which provides for the imposition of a prison sentence on an illegally staying third-country national who, after having been returned to his country of origin in the context of an earlier return procedure, unlawfully re-enters the territory of that State in breach of an entry ban.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 292, 1.9.2014.

---

**Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 1 October 2015 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Raad van State — Netherlands) — R.L. Trijber, trading as Amstelboats v College van burgemeester en wethouders van Amsterdam (C-340/14), J. Harmsen v Burgemeester van Amsterdam (C-341/14)**

(Joined Cases C-340/14 and C-341/14) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Directive 2006/123/EC — Services in the internal market — Leisure boating — Window prostitution businesses — Article 2(2)(d) — Scope — Not included — Services in the field of transport — Freedom of establishment — Authorisation scheme — Article 10(2)(c) — Conditions for granting the authorisation — Proportionality — Language requirement — Article 11(1)(b) — Duration of the authorisation — Restriction of the number of authorisations available — Overriding reason relating to the public interest)*

(2015/C 381/09)

Language of the case: Dutch

**Referring court**

Raad van State