

5. To what extent should a national court, in considering Article 15 of Regulation 2201/2003, have regard to the specific circumstances of the case, including the desire of a mother to move beyond the reach of the social services of her home state, and thereafter give birth to her child in another jurisdiction with a social services system she considers more favourable?
6. Precisely what matters are to be considered by a national court in determining which court is best placed to determine the matter?

⁽¹⁾ Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003 of 27 November 2003 concerning jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in matrimonial matters and the matters of parental responsibility, repealing Regulation (EC) no 1347/2000 OJ L 338, p. 1.

Reference for a preliminary ruling from Court of Appeal (Ireland) made on 5 August 2015 — Evelyn Danqua v The Minister for Justice and Equality Ireland and the Attorney General

(Case C-429/15)

(2015/C 320/31)

Language of the case: English

Referring court

Court of Appeal

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Evelyn Danqua

Defendant: The Minister for Justice and Equality Ireland and the Attorney General

Other party: The Refugee Legal Services

Questions referred

1. Can an application for asylum, which is governed by domestic legislation which reflects a Member State's obligations under the Qualification Directive, be regarded as an appropriate comparator in respect of an application for subsidiary protection for the purposes of the principle of equivalence?
2. If the answer to the first question is in the affirmative, is it relevant for this purpose that the time limit imposed in respect of applications for subsidiary protection serves the important interest of ensuring that applications for international protection are dealt within a reasonable time?

Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom (United Kingdom) made on 5 August 2015 — Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v Tolley (deceased, acting by her personal representative)

(Case C-430/15)

(2015/C 320/32)

Language of the case: English

Referring court

Supreme Court of the United Kingdom