

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: T. Maxian Rusche and R. Sauer, acting as Agents, and by A. Luke and C. Maurer, lawyers)

Re:

Application to annul in part Commission decision C (2013) 4424 final of 18 December 2013 to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU regarding measures implemented by the Federal Republic of Germany in favour of renewable electricity and of energy-intensive users (State aid SA.33995 (2013/C) (ex 2013/NN)).

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present action.*
2. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application for intervention submitted by the EFTA Surveillance Authority.*
3. *Deutsche Edelstahlwerke GmbH shall bear its own costs and those incurred by the European Commission.*
4. *The EFTA Surveillance Authority shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 223, 14.7.2014.

Order of the General Court of 9 June 2015 — ArcelorMittal Hamburg and Others v Commission
(Case T-235/14) ⁽¹⁾

(State aid — Measures adopted by Germany in favour of electricity generated from renewable energy sources and of energy-intensive undertakings — Decision to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU — Adoption of the final decision after the action was brought — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 294/70)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicants: ArcelorMittal Hamburg GmbH (Hamburg, Germany); ArcelorMittal Bremen GmbH, successor in law to Bregal Bremer Galvanisierungs GmbH (Bremen, Germany); ArcelorMittal Hochfeld GmbH (Duisburg, Germany); and ArcelorMittal Ruhrort GmbH (Duisburg) (represented by: H. Janssen and G.-R. Engel, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: T. Maxian Rusche and R. Sauer, acting as Agents, and by A. Luke and C. Maurer, lawyers)

Re:

Application to annul in part Commission decision C (2013) 4424 final of 18 December 2013 to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU regarding measures implemented by the Federal Republic of Germany in favour of renewable electricity and of energy-intensive users (State aid SA.33995 (2013/C) (ex 2013/NN)).

Operative part of the order

1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present action.
2. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application for intervention submitted by the EFTA Surveillance Authority.
3. ArcelorMittal Hamburg GmbH, ArcelorMittal Bremen GmbH, ArcelorMittal Hochfeld GmbH and ArcelorMittal Ruhrort GmbH shall bear their own costs and those incurred by the European Commission.
4. The EFTA Surveillance Authority shall bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 223, 14.7.2014.

Order of the General Court of 9 June 2015 — Kronotex and Kronoply v Commission

(Case T-236/14) (¹)

(State aid — Measures adopted by Germany in favour of electricity generated from renewable energy sources and of energy-intensive undertakings — Decision to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU — Adoption of the final decision after the action was brought — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 294/71)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicants: Kronotex GmbH & Co. KG (Heiligengrabe, Germany); and Kronoply GmbH (Heiligengrabe, Germany) (represented by: H. Janssen and G.-R. Engel, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: T. Maxian Rusche and R. Sauer, acting as Agents, and by A. Luke and C. Maurer, lawyers)

Re:

Application to annul in part Commission decision C (2013) 4424 final of 18 December 2013 to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU regarding measures implemented by the Federal Republic of Germany in favour of renewable electricity and of energy-intensive users (State aid SA.33995 (2013/C) (ex 2013/NN)).

Operative part of the order

1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present action.
2. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application for intervention submitted by the EFTA Surveillance Authority.