

2. Second plea, submitted in the alternative, alleging infringement of the freedom to provide services and the underlying principles of equal treatment and proportionality, in so far as the requirement to hold an authorisation pursuant to the Law of 10 April 1990 made it excessively difficult, or even impossible, for a company which provides a service that is not subject to that law to participate in the contract award procedure.

Action brought on 23 June 2015 — Bimbo v OHIM (THE SNACK COMPANY)

(Case T-331/15)

(2015/C 262/50)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Bimbo, SA (Barcelona, Spain) (represented by: J. Carbonell Callicó, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

Details of the proceedings before OHIM

Trade mark at issue: Community figurative mark containing the word elements ‘THE SNACK COMPANY’ — Application for registration No 12 173 852

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 31 March 2015 in Case R 954/2014-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- grant registration of the trade mark applied for;
- order OHIM to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) and (2) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of the principle of equal treatment;
- Infringement of Article 75 of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 24 June 2015 — Josel v OHIM — Nationale-Nederlanden Nederland (NN)

(Case T-333/15)

(2015/C 262/51)

Language in which the application was lodged: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Josel, SL (Barcelona, Spain) (represented by: J. L. Rivas Zurdo, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Nationale-Nederlanden Nederland BV (Amsterdam, Netherlands)