# GENERAL COURT

## Judgment of the General Court of 16 June 2015 - Portugal v Commission

(Case T-3/11) (<sup>1</sup>)

(EAGGF — Guarantee Section — EAGF and EAFRD — Expenditure excluded from financing — Deficiencies in the Land Parcel Identification System and the Geographic Information System (LPIS-GIS), performance of on-the-spot checks and in calculation of sanctions (financial years 2005 to 2007))

(2015/C 262/16)

Language of the case: Portuguese

#### Parties

Applicant: Portuguese Republic (represented by: L. Inez Fernandes, M. Figueiredo and J. Saraiva de Almeida, acting as Agents)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: P. Guerra e Andrade and P. Rossi, acting as Agents)

#### Re:

Action for annulment of Commission Decision 2010/668/EU of 4 November 2010 excluding from European Union financing certain expenditure incurred by the Member States under the Guarantee Section of the European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund (EAGGF), the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) (OJ 2010 L 288, p. 24), in so far as it applied financial corrections on the Portuguese Republic totalling EUR 40 690 655,11 on account of '[w]eaknesses in LPIS-GIS [Land Parcel Identification System] system, performance of on-the-spot checks and in calculation of sanctions' in the financial years 2005 to 2007.

#### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders the Portuguese Republic to pay the costs.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 139, 7.5.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 19 June 2015 - Italy v Commission

(Case T-358/11) (<sup>1</sup>)

(EAGGF — Guarantee Section — EAGF and EAFRD — Expenditure excluded from financing — Public storage of sugar — Increase in costs in connection with warehousing rental — Annual inventory of stocks — Physical inspections of storage areas — Legal certainty — Legitimate expectations — Proportionality — Obligation to state reasons — Risk of financial damage to the funds — Effectiveness)

(2015/C 262/17)

Language of the case: Italian

### Parties

Applicant: Italian Republic (represented by: G. Palmieri, acting as Agent, and P. Marchini, avvocato dello Stato)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: P. Rossi and D. Nardi, acting as Agents)