

**Judgment of the General Court of 21 May 2015 — Urb Rulmenti Suceava SA v OHIM — Adiguzel (URB)**

(Case T-635/14) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Community trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — Figurative Community trade mark URB — Application for the earlier national mark URB, earlier national collective word mark URB, earlier national collective figurative mark URB and earlier international figurative marks URB — Absolute ground for refusal — No bad faith on the part of the proprietor of the Community trade mark — Article 52(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Relative ground for refusal — No authorisation by the proprietor of the earlier marks — Article 8(1)(b) and Article 53(1)(a) of Regulation No 207/2009 — No infringement of Articles 22(3) and 72(1) of Regulation No 207/2009)*

(2015/C 221/20)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Urb Rulmenti Suceava SA (Suceava, Romania) (represented by: I. Burdusel, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: P. Bullock, Agent)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervening before the General Court:* Harun Adiguzel (Diosd, Hungary) (represented by: G. Bozocsa, lawyer)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 23 June 2014 (Case R 1974/2013-4) concerning invalidity proceedings between Urb Rulmenti Suceava SA and Mr Harun Adiguzel.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Urb Rulmenti Suceava SA to pay the costs;
3. Orders Mr Harun Adiguzel to bear his own costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 361, 13.10.2014.

---

**Order of the General Court of 12 May 2015 — Stichting Woonlinie and Others v Commission**

(Case T-202/10 RENV) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(State aid — Social housing — Scheme of aid granted to housing corporations — Existing aid — Decision accepting Member State's commitments — Action manifestly lacking any foundation in law)*

(2015/C 221/21)

Language of the case: Dutch

**Parties**

*Applicants:* Stichting Woonlinie (Woudrichem, Netherlands); Stichting Allee Wonen (Roosendaal, Netherlands); Woningstichting Volksbelang (Wijk bij Duurstede, Netherlands); Stichting WoonInvest (Leidschendam-Voorburg, Netherlands); Stichting Woonstede (Ede, Netherlands) (represented initially by P. Glazener and E. Henny and subsequently by P. Glazener, lawyers)