

- the documents in the procurement file in which the contacts between the Parliament and the tenderers were recorded in accordance with Article 160(4) of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 1268/2012 of 29 October 2012 on the rules of application of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union and repealing Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002;
- Order the defendant to pay the costs.

### **Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging a failure to comply with the selection criteria and, more precisely, the criteria concerning financial and economic standing and technical and professional standing.
2. Second plea in law, alleging a failure to comply with the award criteria. The applicants submit that, in so far as it proves to be the case that, when comparing the successful tenderer's bid and the bids submitted by the other tenderers, that bid is abnormally low, the defendant ought to have rejected the bid and awarded the contract to the applicants.

---

### **Order of the General Court of 17 April 2015 — Microsoft v OHIM — Softkinetic Software (KINECT)**

**(Case T-536/13)** <sup>(1)</sup>

(2015/C 205/56)

*Language of the case: English*

The President of the Second Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 377, 21.12.2013.

---

### **Order of the General Court of 23 April 2015 — Marzocchi Pompe v OHIM — Settima Meccanica (ELIKA)**

**(Case T-182/14)** <sup>(1)</sup>

(2015/C 205/57)

*Language of the case: Italian*

The President of the Second Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 159, 26.5.2014.

---

### **Order of the General Court of 13 April 2015 — noon Copenhagen v OHIM — Wurster Diamonds (noon)**

**(Case T-637/14)** <sup>(1)</sup>

(2015/C 205/58)

*Language of the case: English*

The President of the Fifth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 361, 13.10.2014.

---