

Defendant: European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (represented by: M. Heikkilä, W. Broere and T. Zbihlej, acting as Agents)

Interveners in support of the applicants: REACH ChemAdvice GmbH (Kelkheim, Germany) (represented by: C. Mereu and K. Van Maldegem); and New Japan Chemical (Osaka, Japan) (represented by: C. Mereu and K. Van Maldegem)

Interveners in support of the defendant: Kingdom of the Netherlands (represented by: B. Koopman, M. Bulterman and C. Schillemans, acting as Agents); and European Commission (represented by: K. Mifsud-Bonnici and K. Talabér-Ritz, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment in part of Decision ED/169/2012 of the ECHA of 18 December 2012 concerning the inclusion of substances of very high concern in the list of candidate substances, in accordance with Article 59 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, amending Directive 1999/45/EC and repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC (OJ 2006 L 396, p. 1), in so far as it concerns hexahydromethylphthalic anhydride (EC No 247-094-1), hexahydro-4-methylphthalic anhydride (EC No 243-072-0), hexahydro-1-methylphthalic anhydride (EC No 256-356-4) and hexahydro-3-methylphthalic anhydride (EC No 260-566-1).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Hitachi Chemical Europe GmbH, Polynt SpA and Sitre Srl to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA);
3. Orders the Kingdom of the Netherlands, the European Commission, REACH ChemAdvice GmbH and New Japan Chemical to bear their own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 129, 4.5.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 28 April 2015 — Saferoad RRS v OHIM (MEGARAIL)

(Case T-137/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Application for Community word mark MEGARAIL — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2015/C 198/45)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Saferoad RRS GmbH (Weroth, Germany) (represented by: C. Czychowski, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Pohlmann, agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 9 January 2013 (Case R 2536/2011-4) relating to the application for registration of the word sign MEGARAIL as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the appeal;
2. Orders Saferoad RRS GmbH to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 129, 4.5.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 29 April 2015 — CC v Parliament

(Case T-457/13 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeal — Cross-appeal — Civil service — Open competition — Errors in the management of the list of successful candidates — Non-contractual liability — Material harm — Legitimate expectations — Distortion of the facts — Loss of an opportunity — Non-material harm — Obligation to state reasons)

(2015/C 198/46)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Appellant: CC (Bridel, Luxembourg) (represented by: G. Maximini, lawyer)

Other party to the proceedings: European Parliament (represented by: M. Ecker and E. Despotopoulou, Agents)

Re:

Appeal brought against the judgment of the European Union Civil Service Tribunal (Third Chamber) of 11 July 2013 in CC v Parliament (F-9/12, ECR-SC, EU:F:2013:116), and seeking to have that judgment set aside.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Sets aside the judgment of the European Union Civil Service Tribunal (Third Chamber) of 11 July 2013 in CC v Parliament (F-9/12);
2. Refers the case back to the Civil Service Tribunal;
3. Reserves the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 325, 9.11.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 29 April 2015 — Hostel Tourist World v OHIM — WRI Nominees (HostelTouristWorld.com)

(Case T-566/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Cancellation proceedings — Community figurative mark HostelTouristWorld.com — Earlier international word mark HOSTELWORLD.COM — Relative ground for refusal — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Minimal inherent distinctiveness of the earlier mark — Likelihood of confusion)

(2015/C 198/47)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Hostel Tourist World, SL (Seville, Spain) (represented by: J. Bartrina Díaz, lawyer)