

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Jednostka Innowacyjno-Wdrożeniowa Petrol S.C. Paczuski Maciej i Puławski Ryszard

Defendant: Minister Finansów

By order of 5 February 2015, the Court held that the second subparagraph of Article 2(3) of Council Directive 2003/96/EC of 27 October 2003 restructuring the Community framework for the taxation of energy products and electricity ⁽¹⁾ must be construed as precluding national provisions, such as those in issue in the main proceedings, which impose excise duty on additives coming under heading 3811 of the Combined Nomenclature set out in Annex I to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87 of 23 July 1987 on the tariff and statistical nomenclature and on the Common Customs Tariff, as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1031/2008 of 19 September 2008, at a rate which differs from that applied to the fuel to which they are added.

The second subparagraph of Article 2(3) of Directive 2003/96 must be interpreted as meaning that it may be relied on by an individual as against the competent national authority in the context of proceedings before a national court which seek to have set aside the application of national legal rules which are at variance with that provision.

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2003 L 283, p. 51.

Request for a preliminary ruling made by the Sąd Rejonowy w Rzeszowie (Poland), lodged on 10 June 2014 — Przedsiębiorstwo Produkcyjno-Handlowo-Usługowe ‘Stylinart’ sp. z o.o. v Skarb Państwa — Wojewoda Podkarpacki, Skarb Państwa — Prezydent Miasta Przemyśla

(Case C-282/14)

(2015/C 171/10)

Language of the case: Polish

Referring court

Sąd Rejonowy w Rzeszowie

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Przedsiębiorstwo Produkcyjno-Handlowo-Usługowe ‘Stylinart’ sp. z o.o.

Defendant: Skarb Państwa — Wojewoda Podkarpacki, Skarb Państwa — Prezydent Miasta Przemyśla

By order of 11 December 2014, the Court of Justice of the EU ruled that it manifestly lacked jurisdiction to reply to the question referred by the Sąd Rejonowy w Rzeszowie.

Appeal brought on 12 January 2015 by Ledra Advertising Ltd against the order of the General Court (First Chamber) delivered on 10 November 2014 in Case T-289/13: Ledra Advertising Ltd v Commission and European Central Bank

(Case C-8/15 P)

(2015/C 171/11)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Ledra Advertising Ltd (represented by: C. Paschalides, Solicitor, A. Paschalides, dikigoros and A. Riza QC)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Commission and European Central Bank