

Judgment of the General Court of 26 March 2015 — Radecki v OHIM — Vamed (AKTIVAMED)(Case T-551/13) ⁽¹⁾**(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community word mark AKTIVAMED — Earlier national figurative mark VAMED — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) and (5) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)**

(2015/C 155/28)

Language of the case: German

Parties*Applicant:* Michael Radecki (Cologne, Germany) (represented by: C. Menebröcker and V. Töbelmann, lawyers)*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: initially A. Pohlmann then S. Hanne, Agents)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court:* Vamed AG (Vienna, Austria) (represented by: R. Paulitsch, lawyer)**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 18 July 2013 (Case R 365/2012-1) relating to opposition proceedings between Vamed AG and Michael Radecki.

Operative part of the judgment*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Mr Michael Radecki to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 367, 14.12.2013.**Judgment of the General Court of 26 March 2015 — Royal County of Berkshire Polo Club v OHIM — Lifestyle Equities (Royal County of Berkshire POLO CLUB)**(Case T-581/13) ⁽¹⁾**(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community figurative mark Royal County of Berkshire POLO CLUB — Earlier Community figurative trade marks BEVERLY HILLS POLO CLUB — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Duty to state reasons — Article 75 of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009)**

(2015/C 155/29)

Language of the case: English

Parties*Applicant:* The Royal County of Berkshire Polo Club Ltd (London, United Kingdom) (represented by: J. Maitland-Walker, Solicitor)*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (represented by: P. Bullock and N. Bambara, Agents)*The other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court:* Lifestyle Equities CV (Amsterdam, Netherlands) (represented by D. Russo, lawyer)