### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 1 August 2013 (Case R 908/2012-1), relating to opposition proceedings between VACOM Vakuum Komponenten & Messtechnik GmbH and Vakoma GmbH.

## Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible;
- 2. Vakoma GmbH is ordered to pay the costs.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 367, 14.12.2013.

Order of the General Court of 13 January 2015 — Istituto di vigilanza dell'urbe v Commission (Case T-579/13) (<sup>1</sup>)

(Action for annulment and damages — Public service contracts — Tendering procedure — Provision of security guard and reception services — Rejection of a tenderer's bid — Award of the contract to another tenderer — Action in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly lacking any foundation in law)

(2015/C 081/26)

Language of the case: Italian

#### Parties

Applicant: Istituto di vigilanza dell'urbe SpA (Rome, Italy) (represented by: D. Dodaro and S. Cianciullo, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Cappelletti and F. Moro, Agents)

#### Re:

Action, first, for annulment of the decision of the Commission rejecting the tender submitted by the applicant in connection with the call for tenders published in the Supplement to the *Official Journal of the European Union* (2013/S 101-172120) and awarding lot No 1 concerning the provision of security guard and reception services to another tenderer, as well as any prior, associated or subsequent act, including the contract concluded with the successful tenderer, and, second, for compensation for the damage sustained on account of the award of the contract to the successful tenderer.

# Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed.
- 2. The Istituto di vigilanza dell'urbe SpA is ordered to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission, including those relating to the application for interim measures, in accordance with the form of order sought by the Commission.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) OJ C 377, 21.12.2013.