Judgment of the General Court of 18 September 2014 — Herdade de S. Tiago II v OHIM — Polo/ Lauren (V)

(Case T-90/13) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community figurative mark V — Earlier Community, national and Benelux figurative marks representing a polo player — Relative grounds for refusal — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Article 8(5) of Regulation No 207/2009)

(2014/C 388/16)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Herdade de S. Tiago II — Sociedade Agrícola, SA (Lisbon, Portugal) (represented by: I. de Carvalho Simões and J. Pimenta, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: P. Bullock and N. Bambara, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: The Polo/Lauren Company, LP (New York, United States) (represented by: R. Black and S. Davies, Solicitors)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 28 November 2012 (Case R 2240/2011-2), relating to opposition proceedings between The Polo/Lauren Company, LP and Herdade de S. Tiago II — Sociedade Agrícola, SA

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Herdade de S. Tiago II Sociedade Agrícola, SA to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 123, 27.4.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 18 September 2014 — Polo/Lauren v OHIM — FreshSide (Representation of a boy on a bicycle holding a mallet)

(Case T-265/13) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community figurative mark representing a boy on a bicycle holding a mallet — Earlier Community and national figurative marks representing a polo player — Relative grounds for refusal — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Article 8(5) of Regulation No 207/2009)

(2014/C 388/17)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: The Polo/Lauren Company, LP (New York, United States) (represented by: S. Davies, Solicitor, J. Hill, Barrister, and R. Black, Solicitor)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: P. Bullock and N. Bambara, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: FreshSide Ltd (London, United Kingdom) (represented by: N. Lockett, Solicitor)