

GENERAL COURT

Order of the General Court of 5 May 2014 — BTL Diffusion v OHIM — dm-drogerie markt (babyTOlove)

(Case T-518/11) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Withdrawal of the opposition — No need to adjudicate)

(2014/C 339/19)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: BTL Diffusion (St Cloud, France) (represented by: A. Berendes, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: K. Klüpfel and D. Botis, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: dm-drogerie markt GmbH & Co. KG (Karlsruhe, Germany) (represented by: C. Mellein and B. Beinert, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 8 July 2011 (Case R 883/2010-2) concerning opposition proceedings between dm-drogerie markt GmbH and BTL Diffusion.

Operative part of the order

1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
2. The applicant and the intervener shall bear their own costs and shall each pay half of the costs incurred by the defendant.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 355, 3.12.2011.

Order of the General Court of 3 July 2014 — Stance v OHIM — Pokarna (STANCE)

(Case T-206/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Withdrawal of the opposition — No need to adjudicate)

(2014/C 339/20)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Stance, Inc. (San Clemente, United States) (represented by: R. Kunze and G. Würtenberger, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: V. Melgar, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: Pokarna Ltd (Secundrabad Andhra Pradesh, India)