

Judgment of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 10 July 2014 — Peek & Cloppenburg KG v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs), Peek & Cloppenburg KG

(Joined Cases C-325/13 P and C-326/13 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeals — Community trade mark — Word mark Peek & Cloppenburg — Opposition by another proprietor of the business name ‘Peek & Cloppenburg’ — Refusal of registration)

(2014/C 315/25)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Appellant: Peek & Cloppenburg KG (represented by: P. Langué, Rechtsanwalt)

Other parties to the proceedings: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: G. Schneider, acting as Agent), Peek & Cloppenburg KG (represented by: A. Renck, Rechtsanwalt)

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the appeals;
2. Orders Peek & Cloppenburg KG, established in Düsseldorf (Germany), to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 245, 24.8.2013.

Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 17 July 2014 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Verwaltungsgerichtshof — Austria) — Marjan Noorzia v Bundesministerin für Inneres

(Case C-338/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Request for a preliminary ruling — Right to family reunification — Directive 2003/86/EC — Article 4 (5) — Provision of national law under which the sponsor and his/her spouse must have reached the age of 21 by the date on which the application for family reunification is lodged — Interpretation in conformity with EU law)

(2014/C 315/26)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Verwaltungsgerichtshof

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Marjan Noorzia

Defendant: Bundesministerin für Inneres

Operative part of the judgment

Article 4(5) of Council Directive 2003/86/EC of 22 September 2003 on the right to family reunification must be interpreted as meaning that that provision does not preclude a rule of national law requiring that spouses and registered partners must have reached the age of 21 by the date when the application seeking to be considered family members entitled to reunification is lodged.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 233, 10.8.2013.