

Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 10 July 2014 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Verwaltungsgericht Berlin — Germany) — Naime Dogan v Bundesrepublik Deutschland

(Case C-138/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Request for a preliminary ruling — EEC-Turkey Association Agreement — Additional Protocol — Article 41(1) — Right of residence of family members of Turkish nationals — National legislation requiring evidence of basic linguistic knowledge with regard to the family member wishing to enter the national territory — Lawfulness — Directive 2003/86/EC — Family reunification — Article 7(2) — Compatibility)

(2014/C 315/15)

Language of the case: Germany

Referring court

Verwaltungsgericht Berlin

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Naime Dogan

Defendant: Bundesrepublik Deutschland

Operative part of the judgment

Article 41(1) of the Additional Protocol, signed in Brussels on 23 November 1970 and concluded, approved and confirmed on behalf of the Community by Council Regulation (EEC) No 2760/72 of 19 December 1972 concluding the additional protocol and the financial protocol signed on 23 November 1970 and annexed to the Agreement establishing an Association between the European Economic Community and Turkey and relating to the measures to be taken for their implementation must be interpreted as meaning that the 'standstill' clause set out in that provision precludes a measure of national law, introduced after the entry into force of that additional protocol in the Member State concerned, which imposes on spouses of Turkish nationals residing in that Member State, who wish to enter the territory of that State for the purposes of family reunification, the condition that they demonstrate beforehand that they have acquired basic knowledge of the official language of that Member State.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 171, 15.6.2013.

Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 17 July 2014 — Reber Holding GmbH & Co. KG v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs), Wedl & Hofmann GmbH

(Case C-141/13 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeal — Community trade mark — Figurative mark Walzer Traum — Opposition by the proprietor of the national word mark Walzertraum — Concept of genuine use of the mark — Failure to take earlier decisions into account — Principle of equal treatment)

(2014/C 315/16)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Appellant: Reber Holding GmbH & Co. KG (represented by: O. Spuhler and M. Geitz, Rechtsanwälte)

Other parties to the proceedings: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: G. Schneider, acting as Agent), Wedl & Hofmann GmbH (represented by: T. Raubal, Rechtsanwalt)