

4. Orders Mr Kimman to bear the entirety of the costs both at first instance and of the main appeal;
5. Orders each party to bear its own costs in the cross-appeal.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 65, 3.3.2012.

**Judgment of the General Court of 9 July 2014 — Moonich Produktkonzepte & Realisierung v OHIM
— Thermofilm Australia (HEATSTRIP)**

(Case T-184/12) ⁽¹⁾

**(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community word mark
HEATSTRIP — Relative ground for refusal — Article 8(3) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 —
Articles 75 and 76 of Regulation No 207/2009)**

(2014/C 282/34)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Moonich Produktkonzepte & Realisierung GmbH (Sauerlach b. München, Germany) (represented by: H. Pannen, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: D. Walicka, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Thermofilm Australia Pty Ltd (Melbourne, Australia) (represented by: J. Kroher and K. Bach, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 26 January 2012 (Case R 1956/2010-1), relating to opposition proceedings between Thermofilm Australia Pty Ltd and Moonich Produktkonzepte & Realisierung GmbH.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Moonich Produktkonzepte & Realisierung GmbH to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) and by Thermofilm Australia Pty Ltd.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 200, 7.7.2012.

Judgment of the General Court of 3 July 2014 — Alchaar v Council

(Case T-203/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures taken against Syria — Entry of an individual on the lists of persons subject to restrictive measures — Links with the regime — Rights of the defence — Right to a fair hearing — Obligation to state reasons — Burden of proof — Right to effective judicial protection — Proportionality — Right to property — Right to privacy)

(2014/C 282/35)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Mohamad Nedal Alchaar (Aleppo, Syria) (represented by: A. Korkmaz, D. Amaudruz and A. Boesch, lawyers)