

**Action brought on 15 May 2014 — Klyuyev v Council****(Case T-341/14)**

(2014/C 261/52)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* Sergiy Klyuyev (Donetsk, Ukraine) (represented by: R. Gherson, Solicitor)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul, insofar as it applies to the applicant:
  - Council Decision 2014/119/CFSP of 5 March 2014 concerning restrictive measures directed against certain persons, entities and bodies in view of the situation in Ukraine; and
  - Council Regulation (EU) No 208/2014 of 5 March 2014 concerning restrictive measures directed against certain persons, entities and bodies in view of the situation in Ukraine.
- order the Council to pay the costs of the proceedings.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**In support of the action, the applicant relies on seven pleas in law of which six are essentially identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-340/14, *Klyuyev v Council*.

Furthermore, the applicant relies on a plea in law alleging that the Council failed to fulfil the criterion for including the applicant on the list of persons, entities and bodies subject to the restrictive measures, namely that the person has been *identified as responsible* for the misappropriation of Ukrainian State funds or human rights violations in Ukraine, as the only reason given for the listing of the applicant is that he is said to be subject to *investigation* in Ukraine for involvement in crimes in connection with the embezzlement of Ukrainian State funds and their illegal transfer outside Ukraine.

---

**Action brought on 19 May 2014 — Cipriani/OHIM — Hotel Cipriani (CIPRIANI)****(Case T-343/14)**

(2014/C 261/53)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English***Parties***Applicant:* Arrigo Cipriani (Venice, Italy) (represented by: A. Vanzetti, S. Bergia, and G. Sironi, lawyers)*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Hotel Cipriani (Venice, Italy)**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Cancel the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 14 March 2014 in Case R 224/2012-4 and declare the nullity, pursuant to Article 52(1)(b) and Article 53(2)(a) of Regulation No 207/2009 in connection with Article 8.3 of the Italian Code of Industrial Property, of the trademark ‘Cipriani’ No 115824 held by Hotel Cipriani for all goods and services for which the trademark is registered or;