Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 78(1)(e) of Regulation No 207/2009, in conjunction with Article 76 thereof and with Rule 22(3) of Regulation No 2868/95; infringement of Article 53(1)(a) of Regulation No 207/2009; conflict, in the light of EU enlargement, between the Community trade mark registered subsequently and an earlier national trade mark.

# Action brought on 21 May 2014 — The Smiley Company v OHIM — The Swatch Group Management Services (HAPPY TIME)

(Case T-352/14)

(2014/C 245/33)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant): The Smiley Company SPRL (Bruxelles, Belgium) (represented by: I. Helbig, P. Hansmersmann and S. Rengshausen, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: The Swatch Group Management Services AG (Biel, Switzerland)

#### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 6 February 2014 in Case R 1497/2013-1;
- Dismiss the opposition by amendment of the contested decision;
- Order OHIM to pay the applicant's costs before the General Court and order the intervener to pay the applicant's costs before the Board of Appeal.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: The applicant

Community trade mark concerned: The word mark 'HAPPY TIME' for goods and services in Classes 14 and 35 — Community trade mark application No 10 106 813

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: The Swatch Group Management Services AG

Mark or sign cited in opposition: International registration protected with effect in the European Union of the word mark 'HAPPY HOURS' for services in Classes 35 and 37

Decision of the Opposition Division: The opposition was partially upheld

Decision of the Board of Appeal: The appeal was dismissed

Pleas in law: Violation of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009

Action brought on 30 May 2014 - Al Naggar v Council

(Case T-375/14)

(2014/C 245/34)

Language of the case: French

## Parties

Applicant: Shahinaz Abdel Azizabdel Wahab Al Naggar (Cairo, Egypt) (represented by: J.-F. Bellis, R. Luff, A. Bailleux, Q. Declève, P. Vovan, S. Rowe and A. Yehia, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union