# Order of the General Court of 7 May 2014 — Sharp v OHIM (BIG PAD)

(Case T-567/13) (1)

(Community trademark — Application for Community figurative mark BIG PAD — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive nature — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Action in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly lacking any foundation in law)

(2014/C 212/40)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Sharp KK (Osaka, Japan) (represented by: G. Macias Bonilla, G. Marín Raigal, P. López Ronda and E. Armero, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: O. Mondéjar Ortuño, Agent)

#### Re:

Action against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 5 August 2013 (Case R 2131/2012-2) concerning an application for registration of the figurative sign BIG PAD as a Community trade mark.

### Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed.
- 2. Sharp KK is ordered to pay to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 24, 25.1.2014.

### Action brought on 31 March 2014 — Mo Industries v OHIM (Splendid)

(Case T-203/14)

(2014/C 212/41)

Language of the case: English

## **Parties**

Applicant: Mo Industries LLC (Los Angeles, United States) (represented by: P. González-Bueno Catalán de Ocón, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 7 January 2014 given in Case R 1542/2013-1;
- Order the defendant to pay the costs of proceedings.

## Pleas in law and main arguments

Community trade mark concerned: The figurative mark containing the verbal element 'Splendid' for goods in Classes 18 and 25 — Community trade mark application No 11 613 131

Decision of the Examiner: Refused the application