

*Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings:* Lutz Biedermann

*Mark or sign cited in opposition:* National word mark 'Management by Nordschleife' for goods and services in Classes 6, 9, 16, 25, 28 and 41

*Decision of the Opposition Division:* Opposition upheld in part

*Decision of the Board of Appeal:* Appeal dismissed

*Pleas in law:* Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009

---

**Action brought on 20 March 2014 — Sonova Holding v OHIM (Flex)**

**(Case T-187/14)**

(2014/C 151/38)

*Language of the case:* English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Sonova Holding AG (Stäfa, Switzerland) (represented by: C. Hawkes, Solicitor)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— Annul the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 12 December 2013 given in Case R 357/2013-2.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

*Community trade mark concerned:* The word mark 'Flex' for goods in Class 10 — Community trade mark application No 10 866 887

*Decision of the Examiner:* Found the trade mark applied for not eligible for registration

*Decision of the Board of Appeal:* Dismissed the appeal

*Pleas in law:* Infringement of Articles 7(1)(b) and (c) and 7(2) CTMR.

---

**Action brought on 20 March 2014 — Grundig Multimedia v OHIM (GentleCare)**

**(Case T-188/14)**

(2014/C 151/39)

*Language of the case:* English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Grundig Multimedia AG (Stansstad, Switzerland) (represented by: M. Neuner and S. Walter, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)