

**Parties**

*Applicant:* French Republic (represented initially by: E. Belliard, G. de Bergues and A.-L. Vendrolini, subsequently by E. Belliard, G. de Bergues and J. Gstalter, and lastly by E. Belliard, G. de Bergues, D. Colas and J. Bousin, acting as Agents)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented initially by: B. Stromsky and C. Urraca Caviedes, and subsequently by B. Stromsky, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Application for annulment of Commission Decision C(2008) 7846 final of 10 December 2008 in respect of State aid No 561/2008 relating to the framework system of activities carried out by agricultural inter-trade organisations recognised in France in favour of the members of the represented agricultural industries.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *The European Commission is ordered to pay the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 113, 16.5.2009.

---

**Order of the General Court of 12 February 2014 — Cofra v OHIM — O2 (can do)**

**(Joined Cases T-162/11 and T-163/11) <sup>(1)</sup>**

**(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of the opposition — No need to adjudicate)**

(2014/C 112/42)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Cofra Holding (Zug, Switzerland) (represented initially by: K.-U. Jonas and J. Bogatz, and subsequently by M. Viefhues, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented initially by: K. Klüpfel, and subsequently by A. Schiffko, acting as Agents)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervening before the General Court:* O2 Holdings Ltd (Slough, United Kingdom) (represented by: M. Müller and F. Fottner, lawyers)

**Re:**

Two actions brought against two decisions of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 10 January 2011 (Cases R 242/2009-4 and R 246/2009-4), relating to opposition proceedings between ALDEMA AG and O2 Holdings Ltd, on the one hand, and between C&A Mode KG and O2 Holdings Ltd, on the other.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the actions.*
2. *The applicant and the intervener are ordered to pay their own costs and each shall pay half of the costs incurred by the defendant.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 139, 7.5.2011.