

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: M. Bishop and I. Gurov, Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: European Commission (represented by: F. Erlbacher, M. Konstantinidis and A. Bordes, Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment, first, of Council Decision 2011/172/CFSP of 21 March 2011 concerning restrictive measures directed against certain persons, entities and bodies in view of the situation in Egypt (OJ 2011 L 76, p. 63) and, secondly, of Council Regulation (EU) No 270/2011 of 21 March 2011 concerning restrictive measures directed against certain persons, entities and bodies in view of the situation in Egypt (OJ 2011 L 76, p. 4), in so far as those acts concern the applicants.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Mr Ahmed Abdelaziz Ezz, Ms Abla Mohammed Fawzi Ali Ahmed, Ms Khadiga Ahmed Ahmed Kamel Yassin and Ms Shahinaz Abdel Azizabdel Wahab Al Naggat to bear their own costs and, in addition, to pay the costs incurred by the Council of the European Union;
3. Orders the European Commission to bear its own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 89, 19.3.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 27 February 2014 — Advance Magazine Publishers v OHIM — López Cabré (TEEN VOGUE)

(Case T-37/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community word mark TEEN VOGUE — Earlier national word mark VOGUE — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Identical nature of the goods — Similarity of the signs — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Proof of genuine use of the earlier mark — Article 42(2) and (3) of Regulation No 207/2009 — Rule 22(3) of Regulation (EC) No 2868/95 — Partial refusal to register)

(2014/C 102/52)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Advance Magazine Publishers, Inc. (New York, New York, United States of America) (represented by T. Alkin, Barrister)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by P. Bullock, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Eduardo López Cabré (Barcelona, Spain)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 22 November 2011 (case R 1763/2010-4), concerning opposition proceedings between Mr Eduardo López Cabré and Advance Magazine Publishers, Inc.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action.
2. Orders *Advance Magazine Publishers, Inc.*, to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 73, 10.3.2012.

Judgment of the General Court of 27 February 2014 — Lidl Stiftung v OHIM — Lidl Music (LIDL express)

(Case T-225/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community figurative mark LIDL express — Earlier national figurative mark LIDL MUSIC — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Genuine use of the earlier mark — Article 15(1) and Article 42(2) and (3) of Regulation No 207/2009)

(2014/C 102/53)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Lidl Stiftung & Co. KG (Neckarsulm, Germany) (represented by: initially by M. Schaeffer, M. Wolter and A. Marx, and subsequently by M. Wolter, A. Marx and M. Kefferpütz, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: L. Rampini, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Lidl Music spol. s r.o. (Brno, Czech Republic)

Re:

Action for annulment of the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 21 March 2012 (Case R 2379/2010-1) relating to opposition proceedings between Lidl Music spol. s r.o. and Lidl Stiftung & Co. KG.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Lidl Stiftung & Co. KG to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 227, 28.7.2012.

Order of the General Court of 27 February 2014 — Lidl Stiftung v OHIM — Lidl Music (LIDL)

(Case T-226/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community figurative mark LIDL — Earlier national figurative mark LIDL MUSIC — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Genuine use of the earlier mark — Article 15(1) and Article 42(2) and (3) of Regulation No 207/2009)

(2014/C 102/54)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Lidl Stiftung & Co. KG (Neckarsulm, Germany) (represented by: initially by M. Schaeffer, M. Wolter and A. Marx, and subsequently by M. Wolter, A. Marx and M. Kefferpütz, lawyers)