

Questions referred

1. Is an importer of animals specially prepared for laboratory use entitled to the relief from import duties provided for for goods of this type by Article 60 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 918/83 of 28 March 1983 setting up a Community system of reliefs from customs duty ⁽¹⁾ when it is not itself a public establishment or an authorised private establishment which is principally engaged in education or scientific research, but its clients are establishments meeting those conditions?
2. Must Rule 5(b) of the General Rules for the interpretation of the combined nomenclature be interpreted as meaning that cages used for transportation of live animals intended for laboratory research should be categorised as packing materials or packing containers for the purposes of that rule?

If so, must the words ‘clearly suitable for repetitive use’ in relation to such packing materials or packing containers be assessed in general or only in respect of re-use within the Union?

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 1983 105, p. 1.

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Cour de Cassation (France) lodged on 27 January 2014 —
Christie’s France SNC v Syndicat National des Antiquaires**

(Case C-41/14)

(2014/C 102/28)

Language of the case: French

Referring court

Cour de Cassation

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Christie’s France SNC

Defendant: Syndicat National des Antiquaires

Question referred

Must the rule laid down by Article 1(4) of Directive 2001/84/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 September 2001 on the resale right for the benefit of the author of an original work of art, ⁽¹⁾ which makes the seller responsible for payment of the royalty, be interpreted as meaning that the seller is required definitively to bear the cost thereof without any derogation by agreement’s being possible?

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2001 L 272, p. 32.

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Hoge Raad der Nederlanden (Netherlands) lodged on
30 January 2014 — Holterman Ferho Exploitatie BV and Others, other party: F.L.F. Spies von
Büllesheim**

(Case C-47/14)

(2014/C 102/29)

Language of the case: Dutch

Referring court

Hoge Raad der Nederlanden