Judgment of the General Court of 23 January 2014 — NCL v OHIM (NORWEGIAN GETAWAY)

(Case T-513/12) (1)

(Community trade mark — Application for the Community word mark NORWEGIAN GETAWAY — Absolute grounds for refusal — Descriptive character — Lack of distinctiveness — Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2014/C 71/32)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: NCL Corporation Ltd (Miami, Florida, United States) (represented by: N. Grüger, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Schifko, Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 12 September 2012 (Case R 1014/2012-4), concerning an application for registration of the word sign NORWEGIAN GETAWAY as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders NCL Corporation Ltd., in addition to bearing its own costs, to pay the costs incurred by the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM).

(1) OJ C 26, 26.1.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 23 January 2014 — NCL v OHIM (NORWEGIAN BREAKAWAY)

(Case T-514/12) (1)

(Community trade mark — Application for the Community word mark NORWEGIAN BREAKAWAY — Absolute grounds for refusal — Descriptive character — Lack of distinctiveness — Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2014/C 71/33)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: NCL (Miami, Florida, United Kingdom) (represented by: N. Grüger, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Schifko, Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 12 September 2012 (Case R 1017/2012-4), concerning an application for registration of the word sign NORWEGIAN BREAKAWAY as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- Orders NCL Corporation Ltd., in addition to bearing its own costs, to pay the costs incurred by the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM).

(1) OJ C 26, 26.1.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 23 January 2014 — Coppenrath-Verlag v OHIM — Sembella (Rebella)

(Case T-551/12) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community word mark Rebella — Earlier Community word mark SEMBELLA — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Genuine use of the earlier mark — Article 42(2) and (3) of Regulation No 207/2009 — Point (a) of the second subparagraph of Article 15(1) of Regulation No 207/2009)

(2014/C 71/34)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Coppenrath-Verlag GmbH & Co. KG (Münster, Germany) (represented by: D. Pohl, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Poch, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Sembella GmbH (Timelkam, Austria)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 5 October 2012 (Case R 1681/2011-2) relating to opposition proceedings between Sembella GmbH and Coppenrath-Verlag GmbH & Co. KG.