

Action brought on 31 December 2013 — ENAC v Commission and TEN-T EA

(Case T-695/13)

(2014/C 52/83)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Ente nazionale per l'aviazione civile (ENAC) (Rome, Italy) (represented by: P. Garofoli, lawyer, and G. Palmieri, Agent)

Defendants: Trans-European Transport Network Executive Agency (TEN-T EA), European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the European Commission's note of 23 October 2013, ref. Ares (203) 3321778, concerning the 'Study for developing the intermodality of Bergamo-Orio al Serio Airport', in which the Commission announced both the launch of the procedure for obtaining reimbursement of part of the financial assistance granted for the carrying-out of that study and the issuing of a debit note for a total of EUR 158 517,54;
- annul the decision of 18 March 2013 adopted by the Trans-European Transport Network Executive Agency (TEN-T EA), referred to in the Commission's note of 23 October 2013, concerning the 'Closure of Action 2009-IT-91407-S — Study for developing the intermodality of Bergamo-Orio al Serio Airport — Commission Decision C(2010) 4456', in so far as it found that the costs related to activities 1, 2.1, 4, 5, 6 and 7, which had already been carried out, could not be identified, and, thus, could not be subsidised, and requested repayment of EUR 158 517,54.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The decisions at issue in the present case are the same as those contested in Case T-270/13 and Case T-692/13 *SACBO v Commission and TEN-T EA*.

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to the ones raised in those cases.

Action brought on 30 December 2013 — Meta Group v European Commission

(Case T-696/13)

(2014/C 52/84)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Meta Group Srl (Rome, Italy) (represented by: A. Bartolini, V. Colcelli and A. Formica, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Declare that the reductions made by the European Commission on the subsidies granted to META S.r.l are unlawful;
- And, consequently, order the Commission to pay the applicant the additional amount of EUR 129 153,11, together with default interest;
- Order the administration to compensate the applicant for the consequential loss suffered by it.

Pleas in law and main arguments

This action is brought against the decisions of the Commission which reduced the grant initially provided for the projects 'Bcreative', 'Take-It-Up' and 'Ecolink +', which grant agreements were concluded between the applicant and the defendant in the context of the 'Competitiveness and Innovation Framework Programme (CIP) (2007 — 2013)'.

Several decisions concerning those projects were also contested in Cases T — 471/12, T — 34/13 and T — 35/13, *Meta Group v Commission*.

The pleas in law and main arguments set out are identical to those raised in those cases.

Appeal brought on 30 December 2013 by Luigi Marcuccio against the order of the Civil Service Tribunal made on 17 October 2013 in Case F-127/12 Marcuccio v Commission

(Case T-698/13 P)

(2014/C 52/85)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Appellant: Luigi Marcuccio (Tricase, Italy) (represented by: G. Cipressa, lawyer)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

Form of order sought

The appellant claims that the General Court should:

- set aside in its entirety the order under appeal;
- refer the case back to the Civil Service Tribunal.