- 2. Dismisses the remainder of the appeal;
- 3. Refers the case back to the Civil Service Tribunal;
- 4. Reserves the costs.

(1) OJ C 139, 7.5.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 4 December 2013 — ETF v Michel

(Case T-108/11 P) (1)

(Appeal — Civil service — Temporary staff — Contract for an indefinite period — Decision terminating the contract — Jurisdiction of the Civil Service Tribunal — Articles 2 and 47 of the CEOS — Duty of care — Concept of interest of the service — Prohibition on ruling ultra petita — Rights of the defence)

(2014/C 24/32)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Appellant: European Training Foundation (ETF) (represented by: L. Levi, lawyer)

Other party to the proceedings: Gustave Michel, successor in law to Monique Vandeuren (represented by: N. Lhoëst, lawyer)

Interveners in support of the appellant: European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and D. Martin, acting as Agents); Translation Centre for the Bodies of the European Union (CdT) (represented by: J. Rikkert and M. Garnier, acting as Agents); European Chemical Agency (ECHA) (represented by: M. Heikkilä, acting as Agent); European Medicines Agency (EMA) (represented initially by: V. Salvatore and subsequently by: T. Jabłoński, acting as Agents); European Environment Agency (EEA) (represented by: O. Cornu, acting as Agent); European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) (represented by: P. Goudou, acting as Agent); and European Food Safety Agency (EFSA) (represented by: D. Detken, acting as Agent)

Re:

Appeal brought against the judgment delivered by the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) on 9 December 2010 in Case F-88/08 Vandeuren v ETF, not yet published, seeking the setting aside of that judgment.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Sets aside the judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 9 December 2010 in Case F-88/08 Vandeuren v ETF in so far as it annulled the decision of the European Training Foundation (ETF) of 23 October 2007 to terminate the contract for an indefinite period as a member of the temporary staff of Ms Monique Vandeuren and dismissed, in consequence, her claim for compensation for the pecuniary harm suffered as premature;
- 2. Dismisses the remainder of the appeal;
- 3. Refers the action back to the Civil Service Tribunal;
- 4. Reserves the costs.

(¹) OJ C 139, 7.5.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 3 December 2013 — JAS v Commission

(Case T-573/11) (1)

(Customs union — Imports of denim jeans — Fraud — Recovery a posteriori of the import duties — Article 13 of Regulation (EEC) No 1430/79 — Article 239 of the Customs Code — Application for remission of import duties — Particular case — Equity clause — Commission Decision)

(2014/C 24/33)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: JAS Jet Air Service France (JAS) (Mesnil-Amelot, France) (represented by: T. Gallois and E. Dereviankine, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: B.-R. Killmann, L. Keppenne and C. Soulay, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of the Commission Decision of 5 August 2011 finding that the remission of import duties is not justified in a particular case (Case REM 01/2008).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;