

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Munindra Holding BV (Lelystad, Netherlands)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 23 November 2012 (Case R 2296/2011-4), relating to opposition proceedings between Munindra Holding BV and Three-N-Products Private Ltd.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Three-N-Products Private Ltd to pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 101, 6.4.2013.

Order of the General Court of 7 November 2013 — 1-2-3.TV v OHIM — ZDF and Televersal Film- und Fernsehproduktion (1-2-3.TV)

(Case T-440/08) (¹)

(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of opposition — No need to adjudicate)

(2013/C 377/37)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: 1-2-3.TV GmbH (Unterföhring, Germany) (represented: initially by V. von Bomhard, A. Renck, T. Dolde and E. Nicolás Gómez, subsequently by K. Kleinschmidt and U. Grübler, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: G. Schneider, acting as Agent)

Other parties to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: Zweites Deutsches Fernsehen (ZDF) (Mainz, Germany); and Televersal Film- und Fernsehproduktion GmbH (Hamburg, Germany) (represented: initially by B. Krause and F. Cordt, subsequently by B. Krause, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 30 June 2008 (Case R 1076/2007-1), relating to opposition proceedings between 1-2-3.TV GmbH and Zweites Deutsches Fernsehen (ZDF) and Televersal Film- und Fernsehproduktion GmbH.

Operative part of the order

1. There is no further need to adjudicate on the action.

2. The applicant and the interveners shall bear their own costs and each pay half of the costs of the defendant.

(¹) OJ C 327, 20.12.2008.

Action brought on 13 September 2013 — Seatech International and Others v Commission

(Case T-500/13)

(2013/C 377/38)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Seatech International, Inc. (Cartagena, Colombia); Tuna Atlantic, Ltda (Cartagena); and Comextun, Ltda (Cartagena) (represented by: F. Foucault, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

— annul Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 672/2013 of 15 July 2013 amending Regulation (EU) No 468/2010 establishing the EU list of vessels engaged in illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, in so far as it designates the Marta Lucia R as a ship that engages in IUU fishing.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicants claim that the Marta Lucia R was removed from the list of ships considered to be engaging in illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, held by the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, and that it should therefore be similarly removed from the European Union list of vessels engaged in IUU fishing.

Action brought on 7 October 2013 — Microsoft v OHIM — Softkinetic Software (KINECT)

(Case T-536/13)

(2013/C 377/39)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Microsoft Corp. (Redmond, United States) (represented by: A. Meijboom, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)