## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Annuls the following measures, in so far as they concern Good Luck Shipping LLC:
  - Council Decision 2011/783/CFSP of 1 December 2011 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran;
  - Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1245/2011 of
    December 2011 implementing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 on restrictive measures against Iran;
  - Council Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 of 23 March 2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation No 961/2010;
- Orders that the effects of Decision 2011/783 be maintained as regards Good Luck Shipping until the annulment of Regulation No 267/2012 takes effect;
- 3. Orders the Council of the European Union to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by Good Luck Shipping.

(1) OJ C 109, 14.4.2012.

Judgment of the General Court of 6 September 2013 — Iranian Offshore Engineering & Construction v Council

(Case T-110/12) (1)

(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures against Iran with the aim of preventing nuclear proliferation — Freezing of funds — Action for annulment — Time-limit for amendment of the form of order sought — Admissibility — Obligation to state reasons — Manifest error of assessment)

(2013/C 304/32)

Language of the case: Spanish

# **Parties**

Applicant: Iranian Offshore Engineering & Construction Co. (Tehran, Iran) (represented by: J. Viñals Camallonga, L. Barriola Urruticoechea and J. Iriarte Ángel, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: P. Plaza García, V. Piessevaux and G. Ramos Ruano, acting as Agents)

#### Re:

Application for annulment, first, of Council Decision 2011/783/CFSP of 1 December 2011 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2011 L 319, p. 71), and, secondly, of Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1245/2011 of 1 December 2011 implementing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 on restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2011 L 319, p. 11), and of Council Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 of 23 March 2012

concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 (OJ 2012 L 88, p. 1), in so far as those acts concern the applicant.

## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Annuls Council Decision 2011/783/CFSP of 1 December 2011 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran in so far as it included the name of Iranian Offshore Engineering & Construction Co. in Annex II to Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Common Position 2007/140/CFSP;
- 2. Annuls Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1245/2011 of 1 December 2011 implementing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 on restrictive measures against Iran in so far as it included the name of Iranian Offshore Engineering & Construction in Annex VIII to Council Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 of 25 October 2010 on restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation (EC) No 423/2007;
- 3. Annuls Annex IX to Council Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 of 23 March 2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation No 961/2010 in so far as it concerns Iranian Offshore Engineering & Construction;
- 4. Orders the effects of Decision 2010/413, as amended by Decision 2011/783, to be maintained as regards Iranian Offshore Engineering & Construction, from its entry into force, on the 20th day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union, until the annulment in part of Regulation No 267/2012 takes effect;
- 5. Orders the Council of the European Union to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by Iranian Offshore Engineering & Construction, in the present proceedings and in the proceedings for interim measures.

(1) OJ C 126, 28.4.2012.

Judgment of the General Court of 6 September 2013 — Leiner v OHIM — Recaro (REVARO)

(Case T-349/12) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community figurative mark REVARO — Earlier international word mark RECARO — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2013/C 304/33)

Language of the case: German

### **Parties**

Applicant: Rudolf Leiner GmbH (Sankt Pölten, Austria) (represented by: W. Emberger, I. Rudnay and L. Emberger, lawyers)