C 225/66

EN

3. Orders the parties to bear their own costs.

(1) OJ C 89, 19.3.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 5 June 2013 — Recombined Dairy System v Commission

(Case T-65/11) (1)

(Customs union — Import of lactoglobulin concentrates from New Zealand — Post-clearance recovery of import duties — Request for remission of import duties — Article 220(2)(b) and Article 236 of Regulation (EEC) No 2913/92)

(2013/C 225/145)

Language of the case: Danish

# Parties

Applicant: Recombined Dairy System A/S (Horsens, Denmark) (represented by: T. Kristjánsson and T. Gønge, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A.-M. Caeiros, L. Keppenne and B.-R. Killmann, acting as Agents, and P. Dyrberg, lawyer)

## Re:

Application for partial annulment of Commission Decision C(2010) 7692 final of 12 November 2010, stating that the post-clearance entry in the accounts of certain import duties was justified and that the remission of such duties was not justified (File REC 03/08)

## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Annuls Article 1(2) and (4) of Commission Decision C(2010) 7692 final of 12 November 2010 stating that the post-clearance entry in the accounts of certain import duties was justified and that the remission of such duties was not justified (file REC 03/08) as far as it concerns the imports of lactoglobulin concentrates 131 and 8471;
- 2. Orders the European Commission to pay its own costs and those incurred by Recombined Dairy System A/S.

Judgment of the General Court of 6 June 2013 — Kastenholz v OHIM — Qwatchme (Watch dials)

(Case T-68/11) (1)

(Community design — Invalidity proceedings — Community design representing watch dials — Earlier unregistered designs — Ground for invalidity — Novelty — Articles 4, 5 and 25(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 6/2002 — Individual character — Different overall impression — Articles 4, 6 and 25(1)(b) of Regulation No 6/2002 — Earlier copyright — Article 25(1)(f) of Regulation No 6/2002)

(2013/C 225/146)

Language of the case: German

#### Parties

Applicant: Erich Kastenholz (Troisdorf, Germany) (represented by: L. Acker, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented: initially by S. Hanne, and subsequently by D. Walicka, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: Qwatchme A/S (Løsning, Denmark) (represented by: M. Zöbisch, lawyer)

## Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Third Board of Appeal of OHIM of 2 November 2010 (Case R 1086/2009-3) concerning invalidity proceedings between Erich Kastenholz and Qwatchme A/S.

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action.
- 2. Orders Mr Erich Kastenholz to pay the costs.

Judgment of the General Court of 30 May 2013 — Omnis Group v Commission

(Case T-74/11) (1)

(Competition — Abuse of dominant position — Market for the provision of Enterprise Resource Planning software (ERP) and Enterprise Application Software (EAS) — Decision rejecting a complaint — No European Union interest)

(2013/C 225/147)

Language of the case: Romanian

# Parties

Applicant: Omnis Group Srl (Bucharest, Romania) (represented by: D.-A.-F. Tarara, lawyer)

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 103, 2.4.2011.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 113, 9.4.2011.