Operative part of the judgment

EN

The Court:

- 1. Rules that it is not necessary to give judgment on the application to the extent that it is directed against Commission Decisions C(2010) 2204 and C(2010) 2208 of 29 March 2010, and against Commission Decisions C(2010) 6429 and C(2010)6436 of 16 September 2010;
- 2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
- 3. Orders Acino AG to pay the costs.
- (¹) OJ C 30, 29.1.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 7 March 2013 — Schönberger v Parliament

(Case T-186/11) (1)

(Action for annulment — Right to petition — Petition addressed to the European Parliament — Petition declared admissible — Decision concluding the petition procedure — Measure not subject to review — Inadmissibility)

(2013/C 114/52)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Peter Schönberger (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: O. Mader, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: E. Waldherr and U. Rösslein, Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of the decision of the European Parliament's Committee on Petitions of 25 January 2011 which concluded the examination of the petition submitted by the applicant on 2 October 2010 (petition No 1188/2010), which had been declared admissible.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action as inadmissible;

2. Orders Mr Peter Schönberger to bear his own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Parliament.

(1) OJ C 145, 14.5.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 7 March 2013 — FairWild Foundation v OHIM — Wild (FAIRWILD)

(Case T-247/11) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — International registration designating the European Community — Word mark FAIRWILD — Earlier Community word mark WILD — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2013/C 114/53)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: FairWild Foundation (Weinfelden, Switzerland) (represented by: P. Neuwald and S. Müller, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: K. Klüpfel, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Rudolf Wild GmbH & Co. KG (Eppelheim, Germany) (represented by: A. Franke, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 3 March 2011 (Case R 1014/2010-1), relating to opposition proceedings between Rudolf Wild GmbH & Co. KG and FairWild Foundation

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders FairWild Foundation to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 238, 13.8.2011.