

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented initially by: D. Botis, subsequently by G. Schneider and, finally, by G. Schneider and A. Folliard-Monguiral, Agents)

*Other party to the proceedings intervening before the Board of Appeal of OHIM:* Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV (Eindhoven, Netherlands) (represented by: L. Alonso Domingo, lawyer)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 14 July 2011 (Case R 1289/2010-1), concerning invalidity proceedings between Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV and Spectrum Brands (UK) Ltd.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Spectrum Brands (UK) Ltd to pay, in addition to its own costs, the costs incurred by the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) and by Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 6, 7.1.2012.

**Judgment of the General Court of 15 January 2013 — BSH v OHIM (ecoDoor)**

(Case T-625/11) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Community trade mark — Application for Community word mark ecoDoor — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Council Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)**

(2013/C 55/20)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* BSH Bosch und Siemens Hausgeräte GmbH (Munich, Germany) (represented by: S. Biagosch, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: D. Walicka, Agent)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 22 September 2011 (Case R 340/2011-1) concerning an application for registration of the word sign ecoDoor as a Community trade mark.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;

2. Orders BSH Bosch und Siemens Hausgeräte GmbH to pay the costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 32, 4.2.2012.

**Order of the General Court of 18 December 2012 — Germany v Commission**

(Case T-205/11) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Action for annulment — State aid — Period allowed for commencing proceedings — Out of time — Inadmissibility)**

(2013/C 55/21)

*Language of the case: Germany*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Federal Republic of Germany (represented by: T. Henze and J. Möller, acting as Agents)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: R. Lyal, T. Maxian Rusche and M. Adam, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Action for annulment of Commission Decision 2011/527/EU of 26 January 2011 on State aid C 7/10 (ex CP 250/09 and NN 5/10) implemented by Germany — Scheme for the carry-forward of tax losses in the case of restructuring of companies in difficulty (Sanierungsklausel) (OJ 2011 L 235, p. 26).

**Operative part of the order**

1. The action is dismissed.
2. The Federal Republic of Germany is ordered to pay the costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 186, 25.6.2011.

**Order of the General Court of 17 December 2012 — Barbin v Parliament**

(Case T-228/11 P) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Appeal — Civil Service — Officials — Death of the applicant — Non-resumption of proceedings by the successors — No need to adjudicate)**

(2013/C 55/22)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Appellant:* Florence Barbin (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis, É. Marchal and D. Abreu Caldas, lawyers)