# Action brought on 12 December 2012 — Wedi v OHIM — Mehlhose Bauelemente für Dachrand + Fassade (BALCO)

(Case T-541/12)

(2013/C 46/37)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Wedi GmbH (Emsdetten, Germany) (represented by: O. Bischof, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Mehlhose Bauelemente für Dachrand + Fassade GmbH & Co. KG (Herford, Germany)

### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 25 September 2012 in Case R 2255/2011-4;
- Alternatively, suspend the proceedings in Case R 2255/2011-4 until a final decision has been made on the applicant's application of 15 November 2012 for a declaration of invalidity of the other party's Community trade mark No 006095889 Balkogrün; reference No 000007267 C of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs);
- Order the defendant to pay the costs.

# Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: the applicant

Community trade mark concerned: the word mark 'BALCO' for goods in Class 19 — Community trade mark application No 9 023 771

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Mehlhose Bauelemente für Dachrand + Fassade GmbH & Co. KG

Mark or sign cited in opposition: the word marks 'Balkogrün', 'Balkoplan' and 'Balkotop' for goods in Classes 19, 21 and 27

Decision of the Opposition Division: the opposition was upheld

Decision of the Board of Appeal: the appeal was dismissed

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009

# Action brought on 18 December 2012 — Teva Pharma and Teva Pharmaceuticals Europe v EMA

(Case T-547/12)

(2013/C 46/38)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicants: Teva Pharma BV (Utrecht, Netherlands); and Teva Pharmaceuticals Europe BV (Utrecht) (represented by: K. Bacon and D. Piccinin, Barristers, G. Morgan and C. Drew, Solicitors)

Defendant: European Medicines Agency

### Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of the European Medicines Agency, contained in its letter of 26 November 2012, refusing to validate the applicants' application for a marketing authorisation for its generic version of abacavir/lamivudine; and
- Order the European Medicines Agency to pay the applicants' costs.

## Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicants rely on one plea in law, alleging that the refusal to validate their application for the authorisation of a generic version of a fixed dose combination medicinal product, on the basis that the product was protected by a ten year period of exclusivity is contrary to Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 (¹) and Directive No 2001/83/EC (²) properly interpreted. In particular, the applicants contend that the marketing authorisation holder for the product is not entitled to enjoy a ten year period of data exclusivity, as the product is a fixed dose combination combining two active substances which have been supplied and used within the EU as components of a number of different medicinal products for some years. The applicants therefore contend that the product falls within