

3. *Cooperativa San Marco fra Lavoratori della Piccola Pesca — Burano Soc. coop. rl, Cooperativa Coopesca — Organizzazione tra Produttori e Lavoratori della Pesca — Chioggia Soc. coop. rl, Cooperativa tra i Lavoratori della Piccola Pesca di Pellestrina Soc. coop. rl, Cooperativa Pescatori di San Pietro in Volta Soc. coop. rl, Murazzo — Piccola Società Cooperativa rl, RAM — Società Cooperativa fra Lavoratori della Pesca, Raccoglitori ed Allevatori di Molluschi, Confcooperative — Unione Provinciale di Venezia et Comitato 'Venezia Vuole Vivere' are ordered to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the Commission.*
4. *The Italian Republic is ordered to bear its own costs.*

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 355, 9.12.2000.

**Order of the General Court of 12 December 2012 —  
Sacaim and Others v Commission**

(Case T-261/00) (<sup>1</sup>)

*(Action for annulment — State aid — Reductions in social security contributions for undertakings in Venice and Chioggia — Decision declaring the aid scheme incompatible with the common market and imposing the recovery of the aid paid — Action in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly lacking any foundation in law)*

(2013/C 38/40)

Language of the case: Italian

**Parties**

*Applicants:* Sacaim SpA (Venice, Italy); Alfier Costruzioni Srl (Venice); Azin Asfalti Srl (Venice); Barbato Srl (Venice); Camata Costruzioni Sas (Venice); Dal Carlo Mario & C. Srl (Venice); Impresa Costruzioni Civili e Montaggi Srl (ICCEM) (Marghera, Italy); Rossi Renzo Costruzioni Srl (Marcon, Italy); Vettore Costruzioni Srl (Venice); ACEA — Associazione dei Costruttori Edili ed Affini di Venezia e Provincia (Venice); and Comitato 'Venezia vuole vivere' (Marghera) (represented by: A. Vianello, M. Merola, A. Sodano and M. Pappalardo, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: V. Di Bucci, Agent, and by A. Dal Ferro, lawyer)

*Intervener in support of the applicants:* The Italian Republic (represented: initially by U. Leanza, then by I. Braguglia, then by R. Adam, and finally by I. Bruni, Agents, and by G. Aiello and P. Gentili, avvocati dello Stato)

**Re:**

Application for annulment of Commission Decision 2000/394/EC of 25 November 1999 on aid to firms in Venice and Chioggia by way of relief from social security contributions under Laws Nos 30/1997 and 206/1995 (OJ 2000, L 150, p. 50).

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The objection of inadmissibility raised by the European Commission is joined to the substance of the case.*
2. *The action is dismissed as being in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly lacking any foundation in law.*
3. *Sacaim SpA, Alfier Costruzioni Srl, Azin Asfalti Srl, Barbato Srl, Camata Costruzioni Sas, Dal Carlo Mario & C. Srl, Impresa Costruzioni Civili e Montaggi Srl (ICCEM), Rossi Renzo Costruzioni Srl, Vettore Costruzioni Srl, ACEA — Associazione dei Costruttori Edili ed Affini di Venezia e Provincia and Comitato 'Venezia vuole vivere' are ordered to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the Commission.*
4. *The Italian Republic is ordered to bear its own costs.*

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 355, 9.12.2000.

**Order of the General Court of 14 December 2012 —  
Dectane v OHIM — Hella (DAYLINE)**

(Case T-463/11) (<sup>1</sup>)

*(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of opposition — No need to adjudicate)*

(2013/C 38/41)

Language of the case: German

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Dectane GmbH (Leipzig, Germany) (represented by: P. Ehrlinger and T. Hagen, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented: initially by K. Klüpfel, then by K. Klüpfel and D. Botis, agents)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervening before the General Court:* Hella KGaA Hueck & Co. (Lippstadt, Germany) (represented by: R. Schnekenbühl, lawyer)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 15 June 2011 (Case R 1231/2010-1) relating to opposition proceedings between Hella KGaA Hueck & Co. and Dectane GmbH.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *There is no further need to adjudicate on the action.*